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I. INTRODUCTION  

Since the 2007 publication of the American Society of Echocardiography (ASE) guidelines for stress echocardiography, new information has become available about the methodology of stress echocardiography, including test protocols, standards for interpretation (including quantitative methods of assessment and application of strain rate imaging), appropriateness of testing, comparison with other modalities for assessing ischemic heart disease (IHD), safety of stress echocardiography, application of the technique in children and special populations, prognostic value, and role of ultrasound enhancing agents (UEA) and perfusion imaging. This updated document includes this new information and summarizes current practice recommendations and training requirements. Additionally, a class of recommendation and level of evidence for diagnostic strategies using stress echocardiography have been added. These recommendations are made according to the 2015 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association clinical practice guidelines. Specific recommendations and main points are identified in bold. Although stress echocardiography may be applied in the assessment of many diverse cardiac conditions, the current document describes its applications in IHD. Supplementary online content of this document includes 32 illustrative video clips and their legends (see Videos 1-32, available online at www.onlinejase.com) for readers interested in visual examples of normal, ischemic, contrast, perfusion, and viability stress echocardiograms, as well as quantitative methods of analysis (for additional data, see Supplementary Tables 1-5).  

II. METHODOLOGY  

a. Imaging  
The baseline resting echocardiogram performed prior to initiation of stress should include a screening assessment of cardiac structure and
Figure 2  Side-by-side viewing of apical 4-chamber images during a DSE. In the four-chamber view, the left ventricle is shown on the left-hand side of the screen. Images were acquired at rest, low dose, pre-peak and peak stress. Ischemia is manifested as an increase in end-systolic size with stress (also shown in Video 2, available online at www.onlinejase.com).

Figure 3  Systems architecture from a stress echocardiography laboratory. The digital images may be transferred from the ultrasound system through a computer network to departmental servers, then to computer workstations for their offline analysis and interpretation. Network systems with large bandwidth and servers with large archiving capacity are required. Serial stress examinations may be digitally archived and retrieved for side-by-side comparison of images.
function, including segmental and global ventricular function, cham-
ber sizes, wall thickness, and cardiac valves, unless echocardiography
has recently been performed. These baseline echocardiographic im-
ages may demonstrate causes of cardiac symptoms including pericar-
dial effusion, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, active myocardial
ischemia, aortic dissection, or takotsubo/stress cardiomyopathy, and
may occasionally obviate the need for stress testing. The presence
of any condition that may make stress unsafe (e.g., severe valvular
heart disease in a symptomatic patient) should be noted. In such cir-
cumstances, the stress portion of the test may be postponed or
canceled, a decision that should be individualized for each patient sce-
nario. Tissue harmonic imaging provides the best resolution of endo-
cardial borders and should be used. B-color can also improve visual
discrimination of endocardial borders and should also be used as
needed.

Standard views for assessment of regional wall motion and thick-
ening include the parasternal long- and short-axis images and apical
4- and 2-chamber views. Additional views that are desirable are the
apical long axis view, which shows the same walls as the parasternal
long-axis view, but may be of better quality in some patients, and a
short-axis view of the apex, which may be obtained from with the par-
asternal window, apical window, or both. Acquisition and display of
the apical images with the left ventricle on the left-hand side is
frequently illustrated in this document. This allows acquisition of 4-
chamber, long-axis, and 2-chamber views with a simple 90° clockwise
rotation of the transducer. All segments can be seen very quickly with
this maneuver. Occasionally, subcostal views may provide additive in-
formation.

b. Format for Image Display

In the current era, ultrasound systems provide direct digital output for
display of images. Stress imaging software allows for acquisition and
side-by-side display of rest and stress images, which maximizes accu-
racity of interpretation. This is particularly helpful when resting wall
motion abnormalities are present. Most laptop and larger systems
have such software. Work stations and image review software typi-
cally allow simultaneous viewing of at least 4 images, each image re-
representing a single cardiac cycle that may be replayed in a continuous
loop, adjusted for heart rate (HR), so that rest and stress images
appear synchronous, playing at similar speeds (Figure 1, Videos 1-2,
available online at www.onlinejase.com). It has previously been
demonstrated that the accuracy of interpretation of stress
echocardiography is improved by visualization of more than a single
single cardiac cycle in each view. This is particularly true for stress im-
ages, as respiratory artifact, arrhythmia, and translational motion of
the heart can compromise visualization of a wall or portion of a
view. Thus, multiple cardiac cycles should be reviewed for each view,
particularly at peak stress. This was previously accomplished
with videotape but can now be accomplished with digital recording
software (Video 3, available online at www.onlinejase.com).

Table 1 Optimal machine settings and UEA administration techniques for LVO during stress echocardiography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imaging technique</th>
<th>Gain/Frame rate</th>
<th>Mechanical index</th>
<th>UEA administration</th>
<th>Key additional points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-mode harmonic</td>
<td>&lt;70% Gain</td>
<td>MI &lt; 0.3 for harmonic</td>
<td>0.1 ml IV Definity*</td>
<td>Lower frame rate prevents apical destruction; also, can move focus to near field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time gain compensation set higher in near field</td>
<td>B-mode</td>
<td>0.2-0.4 ml IV Optison*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frame rate: 20-30 Hz</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5-1.0 ml IV Lumason*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3-5% Definity Infusion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10% Optison/Lumason Infusion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Very low MI Imaging   | <70% Gain             | MI < 0.2 for very low MI pulse sequence schemes | 0.1 ml IV Definity*    | With very low MI pulse sequence schemes, myocardial contrast can be cleared with high 0.8-1.0 MI “flash” impulses (5-10 frames) |
|                       | Time gain compensation set higher in near field | MI 0.8-1.0 flashes to clear myocardial contrast and improve border delineation | 0.2-0.4 ml IV Optison*  |                                           |
|                       | Frame rate: 20-25 Hz  | Focus at mitral valve plane | 0.5-1.0 ml IV Lumason* |                                           |
|                       |                       |                               | 3-5% Definity Infusion |                                           |
|                       |                       |                               | 10% Optison/Lumason Infusion |                                           |

*Each bolus should be followed by a 5-10 ml IV saline flush administered over 20 seconds.
The digital images may be transferred from the ultrasound system through a computer network to departmental servers, then to computer workstations for their offline analysis and interpretation. Network systems with large bandwidth and servers with large archiving capacity are required. Serial stress examinations may be digitally archived and retrieved for side-by-side comparison of images. An example of the systems architecture from a stress echocardiography laboratory is displayed in Figure 3.

Table 2 Summary of stress testing modalities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exercise</th>
<th>Inotropes and/or Chronotropes</th>
<th>Vasodilator</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle/Treadmill</td>
<td>Dobutamine (synthetic catecholamine)</td>
<td>Dipyridamole/Adenosine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Physiology**
- Preserves integrity of the electromechanical response
- Stimulates beta-1 adrenoceptors with the effect of increased heart rate and/or contractility
- Increases coronary blood flow through effects on adenosine A2A receptors

**Test selection**
- Preferred stress for patients who can attain an adequate level of exercise for known or suspected CAD
- Bicycle stress is preferred for assessment of diastolic function
- Performed when a patient is unable to exercise and for known or suspected CAD
- Preferred choice for assessment of myocardial viability
- Preferred test for myocardial perfusion

**Characteristics**
- Increases myocardial oxygen demand
- Increases myocardial oxygen demand
- Increases coronary blood flow

**Hemodynamic response**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heart rate</th>
<th>Stroke volume</th>
<th>Systolic blood pressure</th>
<th>Contractility</th>
<th>Myocardial blood flow</th>
<th>Contraindications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ↑↑         | ↑↑ through Frank-Starling mechanism | ↓ or no change | ↑ | ↑ | - Unstable or complicated acute coronary syndrome
- Serious cardiac arrhythmias (VT, complete A-V block)
- Moderate to severe systemic hypertension (resting systolic blood pressure >180 mmHg)
- Hemodynamically significant LV outflow tract obstruction
- Unstable or complicated acute coronary syndrome
- Serious cardiac arrhythmias (VT, complete A-V block)
- Severe systemic hypertension (resting systolic blood pressure >180 mmHg)
- Pronounced active bronchospastic airway disease (cause bronchospasm)
- Significant hypotension (since these drugs lower the blood pressure)
- Unstable or complicated acute coronary syndrome
- Serious cardiac arrhythmias (VT, complete A-V block)

**Bruce protocol:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Grade (percent)</th>
<th>Speed (mph)</th>
<th>Total time (min)</th>
<th>METS*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*metabolic equivalents - 1 MET = 3.5 mL O2/kg/min

**Supine Bicycle Protocol**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Watts</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METS</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patient pedals at a constant rate of 60 revolutions per minute Resistance increases at each stage

Figure 4 Bruce treadmill exercise protocol.

Figure 5 Commonly used bicycle exercise protocol.

The digital images may be transferred from the ultrasound system through a computer network to departmental servers, then to computer workstations for their offline analysis and interpretation. Network systems with large bandwidth and servers with large archiving capacity are required. Serial stress examinations may be digitally archived and retrieved for side-by-side comparison of images. An example of the systems architecture from a stress echocardiography laboratory is displayed in Figure 3.
c. Use of an Ultrasound Enhancing Agent

There are now three approved ultrasound enhancing agents for improving endocardial border delineation. The use of UEAs has greatly improved image quality and reader confidence of interpretation, as well as the feasibility of stress echocardiography.\textsuperscript{6-10} Abundant literature supports the safety of the use of these agents in non-pregnant adults. Anaphylactoid reactions are rare but laboratories using UEA must be familiar with recognizing and treating these.\textsuperscript{11} The UEA may be administered either as small boluses (0.1 ml for Definity; 0.2-0.4 ml for Optison, and 0.5-1.0 ml for Lumason) or as a dilute continuous infusion (ranging from 3-10%; see Table 1). When used for left ventricular opacification (LVO), current guidelines recommend its use whenever two or more contiguous segments or any coronary territory cannot be adequately visualized.\textsuperscript{12,13} Segmental visualization is critical for the detection of coronary artery disease (CAD). Visualization of all segments at rest and during stress is optimal for determining the extent of inducible ischemia or presence of infarction. The 2018 ASE guidelines\textsuperscript{11} outline specific protocols for UEA administration.
The administration (whether by bolus or continuous infusion) should be sufficient to opacify the entire LV cavity without swirling artifact in the apex or attenuation of basal segments due to acoustic shadowing. To achieve these goals, the mechanical index (MI) should be reduced to less than 0.3, and either a harmonic low MI setting (0.2-0.3) or very low MI (<0.2) fundamental non-linear pulse sequence scheme should be used. The very low MI (VLMI) multi-pulse sequence schemes prevent microbubble destruction, enhance the signal from microbubbles, and reduce signal from artifacts and background tissue, allowing excellent delineation of endocardial borders (Videos 5 and 6, available online at www.onlinejase.com). Since VLMI imaging pulse sequence schemes detect non-linear fundamental frequency signals, there is significantly less far field attenuation in difficult to image patients, resulting in improved basal segment delineation when compared to standard low MI harmonic imaging (Videos 7 and 8, available online at www.onlinejase.com). Specific machine settings for rest and stress image acquisition, and UE administration are given in Table 1.

**Key Points**

1. UEAs should be utilized during stress echocardiography whenever two or more contiguous segments cannot be visualized or a coronary artery territory cannot be completely visualized (Class of Recommendation I; Level of Evidence B).
2. Use of very low dose bolus injections (0.1 ml of Definity, 0.2-0.4 ml of Optison, and 0.5-1.0 ml of Lumason) followed by slow saline flushes is optimal for reducing cavity shadowing. Alternatively, Definity has been given as a 3-5% dilution in normal saline, and Optison has been infused as a 10% dilution. (Class of Recommendation I, Level of Evidence C).
3. VLMI imaging pulse sequences that detect non-linear fundamental frequency responses at <0.2 MI are recommended for optimal LVO and reduced basal segment attenuation (Videos 5-8, available online at www.onlinejase.com). Brief high MI (>0.8) impulses (5-15 frames) can be used to cleard the myocardium and improve endocardial border resolution. (Class of Recommendation IIa, Level of Evidence B).

## III. STRESS TESTING METHODS

Exercise and pharmacologic testing modalities, including physiologic effect, test selection, hemodynamic response, and contraindications are compared in Table 2.

### a. Exercise Stress Testing

If the patient is able to exercise, then exercise stress is considered the test of choice for most assessments of myocardial ischemia because it preserves the normal electromechanical response and can provide important prognostic information about functional status. Treadmill or bicycle (upright or supine) exercise can be used in ESE. For treadmill testing, the Bruce protocol (Figure 4) is utilized most often in the echocardiography lab and images are obtained at rest, immediately after peak exercise, and at recovery.24 The patient exercises at 3-minute stages of progressively increasing difficulty until exercise-limiting symptoms, or significant abnormalities in blood pressure, heart rhythm, or ST segments are noted.24 It is critical to obtain the post-exercise images as soon as possible (within 1-2 minutes) since wall motion changes may normalize.24 Satisfactory quality images obtained within this time frame should be considered diagnostic. Although heart rate recovers rapidly in some patients after exercise, this is prognostically a good sign and does not diminish the utility of ESE. For bicycle stress, the initial workload begins at 25 watts and increases every 2 to 3 minutes until limiting symptoms or arrhythmias occur, or if significantly abnormal findings are observed on the echocardiographic images obtained during exercise22 (Figure 5). Although some centers terminate exercise when 85% of the age-predicted maximum heart rate is achieved, continuing exercise to the development of symptoms increases the sensitivity of testing, and may uncover abnormalities that occur only at a high workload. The achieved workload and percent of the predicted workload for age and sex should be recorded; failure to achieve at least 80% of the predicted workload may diminish the sensitivity of the test for prediction of ischemia. Atropine has been administered in conjunction with ESE in an attempt to prolong the tachycardia and facilitate the detection of ischemia.22 However, it has been demonstrated that heart rate still declines exponentially during recovery and atropine administration therefore is not advised during exercise testing. One of the most advantageous aspects of supine bicycle stress is that cardiac function can be evaluated frequently during incremental levels of exercise, particularly in patients with resting wall motion abnormalities and also applied to the assessment of myocardial viability.22 Several studies have evaluated the use of low level ESE to test for viable myocardium. The low level exercise study uses a 3 minute/25 watts protocol, monitoring heart rate (HR), wall motion, and blood pressure (BP) every minute. Viability is indicated by the stress-induced development of myocardial thickening of segments that are severely hypokinetic to akinetic at rest. To determine if there is viability, careful observation for myocardial thickening of these segments is required during the low level of stress and avoidance of a rapid rise in HR that might produce an ischemic response.22,24 Stress echocardiography has an accuracy similar to that of positron emission tomography (PET) in detecting reversible dysfunction in patients with hibernating myocardium.22

In addition to coronary flow reserve assessment, the cardiac hemodynamic response to exercise also can be evaluated to distinguish the symptoms of ischemia versus symptoms caused by diastolic dysfunction.22,24 Abnormal diastolic function changes may occur before systolic wall motion abnormalities. Diastolic parameters can be recorded near peak exercise or, when detection of ischemia is the primary test objective, after wall motion has been assessed. Obtaining the diastolic parameters shortly after peak exercise may allow for less heart rate-mediated fusing of the mitral E and A waves and therefore improved measurement accuracy. Diastolic function parameters that should be
obtained at rest and stress, particularly in the patient referred for evaluation of dyspnea, include mitral inflow E and A velocities, mitral annular e’ velocities, and peak velocity of tricuspid regurgitation (TR). (Class of recommendation IIa, Level of evidence B). Average E/e’ >14 or septal E/e’ >15 with exercise indicates increased filling pressure; TR velocity must be considered in the context of increased pulmonary blood flow. Pulmonary vein velocities, mitral deceleration time, and left atrial (LA) volume index can also be useful, particularly if a transthoracic echocardiogram has not already been performed. Color Doppler assessment of mitral regurgitation (MR) at baseline and during peak exercise can allow detection of ischemic mitral regurgitation. Figure 6 illustrates the various stages and parameters that can be assessed for ESE. ESE is extremely safe with little risk of serious harm.27,28 Arrhythmias or BP abnormalities may occur but usually resolve quickly after test termination.

b. Pharmacologic Stress Testing

Pharmacologic stress testing (dobutamine or vasodilator) is an alternative modality for myocardial ischemia assessment when a patient is unable to exercise. DSE is also the most commonly used modality for myocardial viability assessment by stress echocardiography. Dobutamine is usually delivered in graded doses starting at 5 μg/kg/min and increasing at 3-minute intervals to 10, 20, 30 and 40 μg/kg/min (Figure 7). Low dose dobutamine, with a beginning dose as low as 2.5 μg/kg/min, may facilitate recognition of myocardial viability in abnormal segments.31 When target HR cannot be achieved with dobutamine alone, atropine can be added to increase the sensitivity of DSE, particularly in patients taking beta-blockers and in those close to the target heart rate. The minimum dose should be used to avoid side effects, including central nervous system toxicity, and a lower total dose (1.0 mg) is recommended in patients with prior neuropsychiatric symptoms or body mass index less than 24 kg/m². Atropine delivery at the 20 or 30 μg/kg/min dose of dobutamine, rather than the 40 μg/kg/min dose, facilitates achieving the target HR (85% of the age-predicted maximum HR) earlier with fewer side effects and shortens the testing time, particularly if HR is not increasing as expected.34,35 Endpoints for termination of the test include achievement of the target HR, hypotension, new or worsening wall motion abnormalities, significant arrhythmias, severe hypertension, and intolerable symptoms. Withholding beta-blockers will facilitate achievement of the target HR but may be accompanied by hypertension or arrhythmias, depending on the indication for the medication. Elimination of the beta-blocker effect would require withholding these agents for 5 half-lives, a practice that is rarely performed. Beta-blockers may be administered to reverse the effects of dobutamine and may increase the test sensitivity when delivered at peak stress or recovery. Pharmacologic stress testing has been shown to be very safe, and may be supervised by physicians or specially trained registered nurses. Patients may develop minor arrhythmias such as atrial or ventricular premature beats, or more significant arrhythmias such as atrial fibrillation and/or nonsustained ventricular tachycardia. These arrhythmias usually resolve after stopping the infusion, although they may persist and require pharmacologic therapy. If sustained ventricular tachycardia occurs, this is most likely due to ischemia. Stress testing with vasodilators (dipyridamole or adenosine) may be performed for assessment of ischemia, myocardial perfusion, and myocardial viability. These agents are contraindicated in patients with reactive airway obstruction or severe hypotension. Dipyridamole is safely given up to 0.84 mg/kg over 6 to 10 minutes. Atropine administration or handgrip exercise at peak infusion increases test sensitivity. Adenosine, in concert with contrast

Figure 8 Typical distributions of the right coronary artery (RCA), the LAD, and the circumflex coronary artery (CX). The arterial distribution varies among patients. Some segments have variable coronary perfusion.47 Adapted with permission from Lang et al.47 The scoring system for segmental scores is tabulated.
echocardiography, can also be used to assess myocardial perfusion. The adenosine infusion rate is 140 $\mu$g/kg/min over 4 to 6 minutes to a maximum of 60 mg. Adenosine has a shorter half-life and thus, shorter action time than dipyridamole. DSE rather than vasodilator stress echocardiography is preferred by most because of higher sensitivity for detection of CAD unless perfusion can also be assessed.

Contraindications for all stress testing modalities include acute coronary syndromes, severe cardiac arrhythmias, malignant hypertension, significant left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT) obstruction, and symptomatic severe aortic stenosis.

Key Points

1. Exercise stress tests are more physiologic than pharmacologic stress tests and include the prognostically important finding of the patient’s exercise capacity. Thus, if a patient can exercise, this is the preferred stress modality. (Class of recommendation I, level of evidence A)
2. Bicycle stress echocardiography (upright or supine) is technically more feasible for assessment of both coronary flow reserve and diastology.
3. DSE is a preferred alternative test for evaluation of myocardial ischemia when a patient cannot exercise.
4. Diagnostic endpoints include achievement of at least 80% of the age- and sex-predicted workload for exercise testing and target HR for DSE.

IV. IMAGE INTERPRETATION

a. Pathophysiology and Detection of Regional Wall Motion Abnormalities in Coronary Disease

Visual assessment of wall motion (wall thickening and inward endocardial excursion) remains the primary method of analysis of stress echocardiograms. Myocardial regions supplied by obstructed coronary arteries are identified by a reduction in wall motion (hypokinesis) with stress relative to function at rest in the same region or relative to function with stress in regions with normal coronary blood supply. In the resting state, the inner half of the myocardium (subendocardium) contributes proportionately more to total systolic thickening and receives more blood flow than the outer half of the myocardium (subepicardium). Even in the absence of coronary obstruction, stress-induced tachycardia results in relative reduction of subendocardial blood flow compared to the subepicardium. In the presence of both coronary obstruction and tachycardia, subendocardial blood flow is further reduced, resulting in a corresponding reduction in wall thickening and endocardial excursion. In the presence of severe coronary obstruction, ischemia with biochemical abnormalities and more persistent wall motion abnormalities (myocardial stunning) may result, which improves detection of disease when post-stress imaging is employed.

Analysis of regional wall function must include assessment of wall thickening rather than just assessment of the extent of wall motion. Wall thickening should be preserved in basal inferior and basal septal segments that have decreased motion due to tethering to mitral-aortic fibrous structures as opposed to being caused by ischemia. Assessment of wall thickening may also aid in detection of smaller ischemic areas that are tethered to nonischemic myocardial regions, which become hyperkinetic with stress.

Work stations and software used for analysis of stress echocardiograms should provide various means of improving visual assessment of the extent of wall thickening and wall motion. A cursor can be used to mark the location of the endocardial border in diastole to provide a fixed reference point for assessment of systolic thickening and motion. Software that provides a mask or colorized end-diastolic image and depicts the extent of systolic endocardial excursion as an alternate color may assist in assessment of the extent of wall thickening and in identification of abnormalities due to excessive cardiac translational motion rather than ischemia (Video 9, available online at www.onlinejase.com).

Figure 9 Demonstration of myocardial contrast replenishment following a high MI impulse during a continuous infusion of UEA during VLMI imaging. Images are acquired near end-systole. Under resting conditions (top panel), replenishment of myocardial contrast should occur within four seconds. Under stress conditions (bottom panel), replenishment should occur within two seconds. There is a delay in replenishment in the basal to mid inferolateral segments (arrows).
In addition to decreasing the amplitude of contraction, ischemia delays the onset of contraction (tardokinesis), decreases the velocity of contraction, and results in post-systolic contraction. These abnormalities are more easily detected using quantitative techniques, but they may also be detected by visual assessment using digital technology. Delay in the onset of contraction and relaxation of ischemic segments may range from less than 50 to more than 100 milliseconds.41 The increased frame rates provided by current ultrasound systems have the necessary temporal resolution to permit visual recognition of delayed contraction by the trained observer (Video 10, available online at www.onlinejase.com). Visual assessment of the timing of contraction has been shown to improve sensitivity and interobserver agreement.48

Software used for analysis of stress echocardiograms should enable the interpreter to compare the timing of segmental contraction on a frame-by-frame basis between rest and stress views and allow the interpreter to limit review to early systole to detect delays in onset of contraction.45,46 Although review of systolic frames is essential, complete cardiac cycles both at rest and stress should always be acquired and reviewed. Differentiation of tardokinesis and post-systolic shortening from other conditions that cause dys synchrony of wall motion, such as early relaxation of the septum (Video 11, available online at www.onlinejase.com), left bundle branch block (LBBB), and right ventricular pacing, may require review of the full cardiac cycle.

**Table 3 Normal and ischemic responses for the various stress modalities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stress method</th>
<th>Normal response Regional</th>
<th>Ischemic response Regional</th>
<th>Normal response Global</th>
<th>Ischemic response Global</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treadmill</td>
<td>Hyperkinesis post-exercise compared to rest</td>
<td>Hypokinesis compared to rest</td>
<td>Increase in EDV Decrease in ESV Increase in EF</td>
<td>Increase in EDV Increase in ESV Decrease in EF in left main, multivessel disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supine Bicycle</td>
<td>Hyperkinesis with exercise but less than with treadmill, dobutamine</td>
<td>Hypokinesis compared to rest</td>
<td>Small increase in EDV Decrease in ESV Modest increase in EF</td>
<td>Increase in EDV Increase in ESV Decrease in EF in left main, multivessel disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dobutamine</td>
<td>Marked hyperkinesis Increased velocity of contraction compared to rest and low dose</td>
<td>Hypokinesis and decreased velocity of contraction compared to low dose, and usually compared to rest</td>
<td>Decrease in EDV Marked decrease in ESV Marked increase in EF</td>
<td>Decrease in EDV, cavity dilatation are infrequent with left main, multivessel disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasodilator</td>
<td>Hyperkinesis with stress compared to rest</td>
<td>Hypokinesis compared to rest</td>
<td>Decrease in EDV Decrease in ESV Increase in EF</td>
<td>Decrease in EDF, cavity dilatation are infrequent with left main, multivessel disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial Pacing</td>
<td>Mild hyperkinesis or no change in function compared to rest</td>
<td>Hypokinesis compared to rest</td>
<td>Decrease in EDV Decrease in ESV No change in EF</td>
<td>Decrease in EDV No change or increase in ESV Decrease in EF with left main, multivessel disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**b. Grading of Regional Function**

For assessment of regional myocardial function, either the 16- or 17-segment model of the LV may be used.37 (Figure 8) In clinical practice in which regional wall motion (RWM) and thickening are assessed, the 16-segment model is commonly used. The 17-segment model, which includes the apical cap, an area beyond the LV cavity, is recommended if myocardial perfusion is evaluated or if echocardiography is compared with another imaging modality.47

To assess wall motion, each segment should be analyzed individually and ideally, in multiple views. The function of each segment is graded at rest and with stress according to a five-point scoring system. Scores are as follows: normal or hyperkinesis = 1 (systolic increase in thickness >50%), hypokinesis = 2 (<40%), severe hypokinesis or akinesis = 3 (<10%), dyskinesis (paradoxical systolic motion away from the center of the LV) = 4, and aneurysmy (diastolic deformation) = 5, as previously recommended (Figure 9). Although recent guidelines did not recommend the separate classification according to the presence of aneurysm,48 its presence should be noted when evident, because of prognostic and therapeutic implications.49 The wall motion score index (WMSI) should be derived by dividing the sum of the scores of individual segments by the number of segments visualized. Assessment of regional function at rest should make note of segments that have substantially decreased diastolic wall thickness (<70% of the thickness in normal segments or absolute thickness ≤6 mm), which is indicative of transmural infarction and scar.50

c. Assessment During Stress and in Recovery

Dobutamine, bicycle, pacing, and vasodilator stress modalities enable imaging to be performed during both early and late stages of stress, which can improve assessment of the severity of coronary disease and enhance test sensitivity. The development of wall motion abnormalities during early stages of stress indicates the presence of severe coronary obstruction with little or no myocardial perfusion reserve.51 The
function appears normal at peak stress. An example of a post-stress abnormalities may initially be seen in the recovery period when indicator of more severe ischemia. With DSE, ischemic wall motion normalities in the recovery period may be due to stunning and is an in-
provide additional useful information. The persistence of wall motion ab-
stenosis or multivessel disease.

Investigations focusing on the value of right ventricular (RV) function assessment with stress for detection of coronary disease have primarily utilized the apical 4-chamber view. Dedicated RV views, with the transducer located more medially may be required. The dedicated RV view demonstrates the RV lateral wall, which is primarily supplied by the marginal branches of the right coronary artery. Assessment of RV lateral wall motion toward the interventricular septum and measurement of tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) with M-mode echocardiography or peak systolic velocity of the tricuspid annulus (using tissue Doppler imaging) in the apical 4-chamber view can be useful for detection of right CAD. A decline in tricuspid annular motion of >4 mm with exercise has reasonable sensitivity for proximal right coronary artery obstruction. A decline in annular motion with dobutamine stress can be an indicator of RV ischemia but marked declines in RV preload and afterload induced by dobutamine may also result in little or no increase in annular motion from baseline. RV dilatation and reduction in global RV systolic function with stress is uncommon, but can occur with extensive, multivessel ischemia or with exercise-induced pulmonary hypertension.

d. Assessment of Right Ventricular Function

comparison of images obtained at low vs. peak stress has diagnostic value for detection of intermediate grades of coronary obstruction using dobutamine or bicycle stress. In the presence of moderate coronary obstruction with some preservation of perfusion reserve, an increase in myocardial thickening and wall motion is seen with a low level of exercise or a low dose of dobutamine, corresponding to an increase in blood flow. At high levels of stress, the combination of tachycardia and coronary obstruction results in a marked decline of subendocardial flow, with a corresponding decrease in regional function. Identification of this biphasic wall motion response, manifested by improvement of myocardial function at a low level of stress and subsequent worsening of function at a high level of stress can improve the sensitivity of stress echocardiography (Video 12, available online at www.onlinejase.com). Assessment for a biphasic response during dobutamine or bicycle stress should be performed for optimal detection of viability and ischemia. (Class of recommendation I, level of evidence B.)

Continuous monitoring of wall motion during bicycle exercise or pharmacologic stress enables determination of the ischemic threshold, the heart rate at which wall motion abnormalities first occur. This information can be used to estimate the severity and extent of disease, and to risk stratify patients with known or suspected coronary disease. Wall motion abnormalities occurring at a low heart rate or rate-pressure product usually indicate the presence of severe stenosis or multivessel disease.

Images obtained in the recovery period after cessation of stress may provide additional useful information. The persistence of wall motion abnormalities in the recovery period may be due to stunning and is an indicator of more severe ischemia. With DSE, ischemic wall motion abnormalities may initially be seen in the recovery period when function appears normal at peak stress. An example of a post-stress wall motion abnormality is shown in Video 13 (available online at www.onlinejase.com). For this reason, an additional round of early post-stress imaging is recommended in patients who have no obvious wall motion abnormalities during dobutamine stress. Late recovery imaging should be considered in those with severe, extensive stress-induced wall motion abnormalities to assess for resolution of these abnormalities. It is an advantage of the modality of stress echocardiography that such signs of ischemia can be monitored during recovery, not feasible with nuclear perfusion imaging.

Table 4 Recommendations for reporting results of stress echocardiogram

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline regional wall motion assessment</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Number, location and severity of regional (or global) wall motion abnormalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Presence of wall thinning or increased thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Assessment of ejection fraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stress regional wall motion assessment</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Number, location and severity of regional (or global) wall motion abnormalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Estimate of ejection fraction response to stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Estimate of end-systolic size response to stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Stress response may include various stages of stress, especially if resting wall motion abnormalities are present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Adequacy of imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Use of UEA, including agent administered and dose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of stress testing protocol</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Doses of agent(s) for pharmacologic stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Adequacy of stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workload for exercise stress, and the adequacy of this workload according to norms for the patient’s age and sex</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Whether target heart rate was achieved for dobutamine stress testing</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Heart rate and blood pressure at each stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ ECG findings, including presence or absence of ischemia, and any arrhythmia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Cardiac symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diagram or graphic display of wall motion at rest and with stress is recommended</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additional findings noted on the resting images should be described if the patient has not already had a transthoracic echocardiogram.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In patients referred for evaluation of the exertional dyspnea, additional information such as assessment of E/e′, right ventricular systolic pressure, and/or oxygen saturation (by pulse oximeter) at rest and with stress may be beneficial</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall interpretation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>○ Normal, ischemia, fixed wall motion abnormality, or combination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Wall motion abnormalities develop. In normal subjects, treadmill exercise due to reduced preload and afterload. The decrease in left ventricular cavity contractility (hyperkinesis) and a prominent decrease in cavity size due to both higher preload and afterload relative to the two other forms of stress. The absence of hyperkinesis, particularly with treadmill, dobutamine, and vasodilator stress, can indicate the presence of coronary artery obstruction but lack of hyperkinesis is not always specific for obstructive disease. Lack of hyperkinesis may also be due to microvascular disease, a hypertensive response to exercise, or may reflect an underlying cardiomyopathy. An ischemic response is defined by development of a new wall motion abnormality with stress in a segment with normal wall motion at rest or worsening of function with stress in a segment with a resting wall motion abnormality.

The normal response to bicycle stress is a lesser increase in contractility and a less prominent decrease in cavity size due to both higher preload and afterload relative to the two other forms of stress. The absence of hyperkinesis, particularly with treadmill, dobutamine, and vasodilator stress, can indicate the presence of coronary artery obstruction but lack of hyperkinesis is not always specific for obstructive disease. Lack of hyperkinesis may also be due to microvascular disease, a hypertensive response to exercise, or may reflect an underlying cardiomyopathy. An ischemic response is defined by development of a new wall motion abnormality with stress in a segment with normal wall motion at rest or worsening of function with stress in a segment with a resting wall motion abnormality.

A severe resting wall motion abnormality with no change with stress (including no biphasic response) is considered a fixed wall motion response and represents a transmurally infarcted region or one with a limited epicardial rim of viability. In the presence of left main coronary artery or multivessel obstruction, a stress-induced decrease in ejection fraction (EF) or increase in end-systolic cavity size are more commonly seen with exercise than with dobutamine or vasodilator stress (Video 15, available online at www.onlinejase.com). It should be noted that akinesis becoming dyskinesis is generally considered to represent a mechanical response of an infarcted segment rather than ischemia.

### Table 5: Specific technical considerations for optimizing myocardial contrast replenishment analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Typical location of artifact</th>
<th>Artifact/Problem</th>
<th>Sonographer correction method</th>
<th>Key additional points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apex - myocardium</td>
<td>Reduced contrast</td>
<td>Increase near field TGC under resting conditions.</td>
<td>If resting wall motion is normal, perfusion should be normal, so a defect in this setting is an artifact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal segment -myocardium</td>
<td>Reduced myocardial contrast</td>
<td>Foreshorten apical windows to get basal segments in the near field.</td>
<td>If resting wall motion is normal, perfusion is normal and therefore there should be no resting contrast defects in the absence of wall motion abnormalities. Foreshortened windows can be used in any apical window to better visualize basal segment perfusion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced myocardial contrast globally</td>
<td>Inadequate continuous infusion or bolus injection</td>
<td>Check IV site to ensure not obstructed; increase infusion rate; ensure contrast is not too dilute and is staying adequately mixed.</td>
<td>Could switch to a small bolus if using a continuous infusion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV cavity contrast</td>
<td>Shadowing of basal/mid segments</td>
<td>Slow down infusion or reduce bolus size and flush rate. Slightly increase for field time gain compensation.</td>
<td>Infusion (compared to bolus) reduces shadowing problems and allows for more rapid correction of the problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib shadows in apical 2-chamber view</td>
<td>Cannot visualize basal and mid segment of anterior wall</td>
<td>Have one side by side loop for the inferior wall, and a second side by side loop examining a foreshortened anterior focused view.</td>
<td>Could also use parasternal short-axis window to examine anterior segments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Key Point

1. The RV free wall should be included in apical 4-chamber images for assessment of lateral wall and tricuspid annular motion when right CAD is suspected. Right ventricular lateral wall and tricuspid annular motion should be assessed for detection of RV ischemia. (Level of evidence I, class of recommendation B)

### e. Modality-specific Differences in the Regional and Global Left Ventricular Response to Stress

In addition to the evaluation of segmental LV function, the global LV response to stress should be assessed. Stress-induced changes in LV shape, cavity size, and global contractility can indicate the presence or absence of ischemia. The modality-specific differences in regional and global LV response to stress need to be considered when assessing for ischemia. Table 3 lists different modalities of stress and the general responses of regional and global function that are seen in normal subjects and in those with obstructive CAD. A normal stress echocardiogram is defined by the presence of normal global and the general responses of regional and global function that are seen in normal subjects and in those with obstructive CAD.61-74 A normal response to bicycle stress is a lesser increase in contractility and a less prominent decrease in cavity size due to both higher preload and afterload relative to the two other forms of stress. The absence of hyperkinesis, particularly with treadmill, dobutamine, and vasodilator stress, can indicate the presence of coronary artery obstruction but lack of hyperkinesis is not always specific for obstructive disease. Lack of hyperkinesis may also be due to microvascular disease, a hypertensive response to exercise, or may reflect an underlying cardiomyopathy. An ischemic response is defined by development of a new wall motion abnormality with stress in a segment with normal wall motion at rest or worsening of function with stress in a segment with a resting wall motion abnormality.

A severe resting wall motion abnormality with no change with stress (including no biphasic response) is considered a fixed wall motion response and represents a transmurally infarcted region or one with a limited epicardial rim of viability. In the presence of left main coronary artery or multivessel obstruction, a stress-induced decrease in ejection fraction (EF) or increase in end-systolic cavity size are more commonly seen with exercise than with dobutamine or vasodilator stress (Video 15, available online at www.onlinejase.com). It should be noted that akinesis becoming dyskinesis is generally considered to represent a mechanical response of an infarcted segment rather than ischemia.

### f. Reporting

Recommendations for reporting are shown in Table 4. The number, location, and severity of wall motion abnormalities should be diagrammed at rest and with stress. When resting wall motion abnormalities are present, the response not only to peak stress, but to an intermediate stage, should be included for a bicycle or pharmacologic
stress study in which imaging is performed during stress. Interpretation must summarize the extent, severity, and location of abnormalities, and correlation with coronary anatomy. High-risk findings, including stress-induced wall motion abnormalities in more than one coronary artery distribution, and cavity dilatation should also be noted. The physician interpreter may choose not to grade equivocal resting or stress-induced wall motion abnormalities on the wall motion scoring diagram in lieu of a statement noting the equivocal nature of these abnormalities and possible non-ischemic causes. These abnormalities may include lack of hyperkinesis or decrease in end-systolic cavity size in the setting of a hypertensive response to stress, abnormal basal inferolateral wall motion in a patient with mitral prolapse, dyssynchronous septal motion with RV pacing or LBBB, systolic anterior motion of the interventricular septum after open-heart surgery, and pseudodysskinesis of the inferolateral wall in a patient with diaphragmatic elevation.

The report should also include the protocol used, the exercise time or peak dose of the pharmacologic agent, the maximum HR achieved, the BP response, the maximum HR-systolic BP product, the exercise capacity, and whether the level of stress was adequate. The reasons for test termination, cardiac symptoms, side effects if a pharmacologic agent was used, and the results of the stress electrocardiogram (ECG), including the presence of any arrhythmias, should also be noted.

If the patient has not had a resting transthoracic echocardiogram, findings of the baseline screening echocardiogram, including the presence of increased LV wall thickness, valvular abnormalities, or pericardial effusion, as well as the appearance of the proximal aorta should be described. If the patient has had a prior stress echocardiogram, this study should be reviewed and a comparative statement should be included in the report.

g. Perfusion Imaging Assessment with Ultrasound Enhancing Agent

Although single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) is still considered by the majority of cardiologists as the diagnostic tool of choice to assess myocardial perfusion during stress testing, both radionuclide SPECT and PET are limited by reduced spatial resolution, high costs, and significant radiation exposure to the patient and allied health professionals working with the patient.77,78 The radiation exposure to the patient often exceeds 10 millisieverts (mSv), and repeated studies over time have led to cumulative exposures exceeding 100 mSv.79 The nine million radionuclide SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging studies performed annually have been estimated to contribute to over 7,400 cancer cases annually in the US.78 SPECT is also limited by attenuation artifacts, which can hamper interpretation in both the anterior (breast attenuation) and inferior (diaphragmatic attenuation) walls.77 Importantly, especially during vasodilator stress, radionuclide tracers primarily detect myocardial blood volume changes, which are less sensitive than myocardial blood flow changes in detecting physiologically relevant coronary stenoses.80

With real-time myocardial contrast echocardiography (RTMCE) using UEs, real-time VLMI pulse sequence schemes using amplitude modulation (with or without phase modulation) have been developed that enhance the non-linear response from microbubbles at an MI < 0.2 while simultaneously canceling linear responses from myocardium and tissue.81,82 Thus, the imaging modality as well as the dose of UEs are not different from those required for enhancing LVO. Pulse sequence schemes for assessing myocardial perfusion reduce microbubble destruction, reduce far-field attenuation when using fundamental non-linear imaging, and increase the signal enhancement from microbubbles, allowing the simultaneous assessment of myocardial perfusion and wall motion during stress echocardiography.82 From a physiologic perspective, RTMCE has the ability to monitor myocardial blood flow changes during all types of stress imaging, as opposed to blood volume changes that are detected with cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) or SPECT vasodilator stress imaging.80 Because of this, stress perfusion imaging with RTMCE has the potential to improve the detection of a physiologically relevant coronary
stenosis, leading to a better assessment of risk when compared to SPECT imaging or conventional wall motion analysis (Figure 9, Videos 16 and 17, available online at www.onlinejase.com).83-87

The key component of myocardial perfusion imaging analysis with real-time myocardial contrast perfusion imaging is being able to analyze replenishment in all segments. This is dependent upon several technical factors, as well as an understanding of the relationship between perfusion and function at rest and during stress (Table 5). Obtaining adequate resting perfusion images is vital, and it is recommended that a continuous infusion of contrast be utilized. A key physiologic premise is that if resting myocardial wall thickening is normal, perfusion is normal. Therefore, any technical factor must be corrected (e.g., apical near field gain, basal segment attenuation) prior to stress testing. If not corrected, the same defect will reappear during stress imaging, and one will not know if the defect is an artifact or a true perfusion defect. Unlike resting conditions, a perfusion defect can occur in the absence of a wall motion abnormality during any form of stress testing (Videos 18-22, available online at www.onlinejase.com). Despite its tremendous proven potential, perfusion imaging with RTMCE is not an FDA approved technique. As a result, in the U.S., RTMCE has been utilized routinely only at a small number of experienced centers.

V. QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS METHODS

The development of reproducible, accurate, and easy to use quantitative analysis techniques that can be routinely applied in stress echocardiography has been a major focus of investigation. The requirement for expertise and the subjectivity of visual assessment of LV wall motion initially provided the impetus for investigation of...
quantitative methods. Additionally, quantitative analysis may increase the sensitivity of detection of coronary disease. Measurement of LV volumes and ejection fraction is not routinely employed in most stress laboratories because of time constraints and challenges in reproducibility with stress when images are technically difficult. However, in selected cases, measurement of ejection fraction and end-systolic volume may provide confirmatory evidence of an abnormal global response to stress.

Rest to early post-exercise change in mitral E velocity has been shown to be useful for detection of CAD. An increase in E velocity due to increased LV filling pressure may occur in those with extensive ischemia, and the development of impaired LV relaxation resulting in a decrease in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 6</th>
<th>Quantitation: Doppler strain and strain rate imaging advantages and limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>Limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can be acquired with lower-quality 2D images</td>
<td>Angle dependent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High frame rates (≥100 fps)</td>
<td>Need to adjust placement of sample volumes manually with translational motion with stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantify timing and magnitude of contraction, relaxation</td>
<td>Reduced signal quality with stress and lateral wall signal quality (due to decreased resolution)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain and strain rate assess regional myocardial function (versus TDI)</td>
<td>Higher than optimal interobserver variability (10-20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain rate reflects velocity of contraction, which may be ideal parameter for use with dobutamine</td>
<td>Data mostly limited to pharmacologic stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Optimal parameter for detection of ischemia has not been defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Generally limited to assessment of longitudinal function in the apical views</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-automated, time and labor-intensive analysis to quantify parameters in multiple segments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 13  Curved M-mode strain rate Imaging in LAD Ischemia. Color-coded curved M-mode images display Doppler strain rate during the cardiac cycle on the horizontal axis and segment location for each view vertically (top to bottom) with the apex at midline of the vertical axis. Increasing rates of shortening are depicted in yellow to brown to red hues from rest to stress, and increasing rates of lengthening in diastole are depicted in increasing intensities of blue. Absence of contraction or relaxation is depicted in green. At rest, systolic strain rate is relatively homogeneous (brown to light red in all segments except for the apex). Strain rate is artifactually low at the apex because of the angle of interrogation. With exercise, the basal septal and lateral segments become hyperdynamic (red hue) during systole while the mid-apical septal and lateral segments demonstrate delayed onset of contraction and reduced strain rate during systole with prominent post-systolic shortening (PSS).
E velocity may be seen with less extensive ischemia. Assessment of diastolic function with stress may be beneficial in the evaluation of patients with unexplained dyspnea using the combination of Doppler measurement of mitral E velocity and tissue Doppler measurement of mitral annulus e' velocities in the apical 4-chamber view during bicycle exercise or after treadmill exercise. These variables are usually measured at a heart rate of 100-110 beats per minute during exercise or in recovery to avoid fusion of E and A waves. An increase in E/e' ratio to >14 for the average E/e' or >15 for septal E/e' with exercise correlates with an increase in LV filling pressure, which can be due to stress-induced ischemia or inadequate rate of relaxation in subjects with diastolic dysfunction.

Abnormalities in the rest to stress changes in ejection velocity or isovolumic acceleration, maximal post-systolic velocity, and early diastolic

Acoustic quantification (AQ) utilizes integrated backscatter detection of the blood–tissue interface for endocardial border tracking throughout the cardiac cycle. The color kinesis (CK) method was developed from AQ to enable automated, quantitative assessment of regional function at rest and with stress. Each frame of endocardial excursion in systole is encoded with a different color, resulting in a series of different colored bands with the thickness of each representing the extent of endocardial excursion that occurred during that time interval. CK has not been widely applied because of the requirement for proprietary software, labor intensive quantitation, and necessity for high-quality images.91,92

The high sampling rates (≥100 frames/sec) afforded by Doppler echocardiography enable assessment of both the timing and magnitude of contraction and relaxation. Both pulsed-wave (PW) and color Doppler techniques of tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) of myocardial segments have been investigated during dobutamine stress. Limitations include the need to individually sample each myocardial segment, requirement for segment-specific normal values because of the normal base to apex gradient in velocities, differences in normal velocities between the PW and color Doppler methods, and the need to minimize the angle between the direction of motion and Doppler interrogation. Abnormalities in the rest to stress changes in ejection velocity or isovolumic acceleration, maximal post-systolic velocity, and early diastolic
investigated the feasibility and diagnostic value of Doppler strain imaging for detection of coronary disease. Ischemia-induced reductions in systolic strain rate, delay in the time to onset of relaxation, and indices assessing the magnitude of post-systolic shortening (PSS) have been found to be the most useful parameters for detection of disease.100-103 Doppler strain imaging has not consistently demonstrated incremental diagnostic value beyond visual assessment of wall motion. The advantages and limitations of Doppler strain imaging are shown in Table 6.

Visual assessment of curved M-mode images utilizes the information provided by strain rate imaging without the requirement for time consuming, detailed analysis of strain rate plots. The M-mode technique has higher feasibility than quantitative Doppler strain imaging.102,106 The transition from systolic shortening to diastolic lengthening is well demarcated by an abrupt color change that enables quick visual assessment of regional delays in the cessation of contraction and onset of relaxation (Figure 13).100 A limited number of studies have shown that visual assessment of curved M-mode images has supplemental diagnostic and prognostic value to visual assessment of wall motion.102,106,107 Curved M-mode images can be easily obtained following completion of the stress exam on images where two-dimensional (2D) and TDI information were simultaneously acquired. However, the process of deriving these images and arranging them for physician review takes several minutes. Visual assessment of cardiac motion should be used to distinguish artifact from true abnormalities in strain rate. The feasibility of imaging with exercise, the ease and speed with which images can be generated, and the limited time required for image review are advantages of curved M-mode strain rate imaging, but further validation is required.

Assessment of myocardial deformation using 2D speckle-tracking echocardiography (STE) is the most recently developed quantitative technique. The motion of speckle patterns unique to a region of myocardium is tracked frame by frame throughout the cardiac cycle, enabling quantitation of systolic shortening and diastolic lengthening. Semi-automated software that automatically defines regions of interest for each echocardiographic view enables assessment of strain in multiple segments simultaneously. The relative lack of angle dependency, improved signal-to-noise ratio, less time-intensive analysis, and feasibility of measurement of strain and strain rate in multiple dimensions are advantages of STE compared to Doppler strain imaging; however, frame rates with STE are lower (50 to 90 frames/sec) than rates achievable with Doppler strain imaging (≥100/sec), potentially decreasing the accuracy of assessment of timing parameters and leading to underestimation of peak strain (Table 7). The majority of clinical studies have focused on assessment of longitudinal strain utilizing apical views. Ischemia has been shown to affect longitudinal strain earlier than radial strain.108 Peak systolic strain values at rest and with stress can be displayed side by side in polar map format for rapid assessment of changes in strain. Studies have reported the feasibility and diagnostic value of STE with pharmacologic stress (Supplemental Table 2, available at www.onlinejase.com). Global peak longitudinal systolic strain is the quantitative parameter most often investigated. In most studies, ischemia was identified by significant declines in global strain from low to peak dose. However, decreases in strain from rest to low dose have been shown in normal subjects, presumably due to reduction in diastolic volume with high dose dobutamine and inadequate frame rates in the setting of tachycardia.109,110 Studies have compared the value of multiple strain parameters for detection of CAD.110,111,112 The optimal STE parameter for detection of ischemia remains uncertain. In general,
STE has not shown an incremental increase in accuracy compared to visual assessment. The requirements for and challenges to the clinical application of STE to stress echocardiography are listed in Table 8. The reproducibility of regional strain values has not been well validated and inter-vendor differences in measurement are the subject of ongoing investigation. Figures 14 and 15, and Videos 23-25 (available online at www.onlinejase.com) illustrate detection of CAD using STE. Blood pressure changes during stress should be considered when interpreting strain responses because loading conditions may influence strain values.

VI. ACCURACY

Multiple studies have demonstrated the excellent accuracy of stress echocardiography using coronary arteriography as the gold standard for comparison. Stress testing with imaging has a greater accuracy than the exercise electrocardiogram. The prior version of the ASE stress echocardiography guideline document reported an average sensitivity of 88% and average specificity of 83% for stress echocardiography for detection of coronary artery stenosis. Publications of original research studies from 2007-2017 identified by Pub Med search using the strategy: stress echocardiography and CAD, not prognosis, English language, are summarized in Supplemental Table 3 (available at www.onlinejase.com). As with all stress testing modalities, the sensitivity for detection of IHD is greater when multi-vessel rather than single vessel disease is present.

Several meta-analyses have compared the accuracy of nuclear perfusion imaging and stress echocardiography. These tests had similar sensitivities for detection of CAD but stress echocardiography had higher specificity. For the detection of left main or multivessel CAD, stress echocardiography had greater sensitivity compared with nuclear myocardial perfusion imaging, which compares relative differences in perfusion and may miss ischemia that is balanced or global. Another meta-analysis revealed that dipyridamole and DSE had similar sensitivity and specificity for detection of CAD.

Key Points

1. Multiple studies have demonstrated the excellent accuracy of stress echocardiography using coronary arteriography as the gold standard for comparison.
2. For detection of CAD, stress echocardiography has similar sensitivity to tomographic nuclear perfusion imaging. However, stress echocardiography has higher specificity. For detection of left main or multivessel CAD, stress echocardiography has greater sensitivity.

a. Blood Pressure Response to Stress

Abnormal blood pressure response to stress may lead to early discontinuation of the test. Cut points to define a hypertensive response during DSE have been defined as systolic blood pressure ≥ 182 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure ≥ 96 mmHg, and for exercise as peak systolic blood pressure ≥ 220 mmHg. It was previously suggested that patients with stress-induced hypertensive responses (ESE or DSE) were more likely to have abnormal stress echocardiogram results and these abnormalities were less specific for the diagnosis of significant CAD. However, using the cut-points for hypertensive response defined above, patients with hypertensive responses to exercise were not more likely to have abnormal ESE findings than those with normal blood pressure. The majority of patients who have abnormal ESE results and undergo coronary angiography have obstructive CAD. Patients with hypertensive responses during DSE were more likely to have stress-induced myocardial ischemia compared to those with normal BP response, but were not more likely to have false-positive DSE results. However, severe CAD is less likely to be present in patients with a hypertensive response during DSE compared to those who have a normal blood pressure response. Hence, it should not be assumed that the development of wall motion abnormalities in the setting of a hypertensive BP response during stress is simply due to the hypertensive BP response itself, or that these positive stress findings signify a false-positive result. Such patients should be evaluated or managed like any patient who has positive stress findings.
Key Point

1. Patients who experience hypertensive responses to stress should be evaluated or managed like any patient who has positive stress findings.

b. Microvascular Disease

A variety of mechanisms have been suggested for the development of wall motion abnormalities in the absence of significant angiographic coronary stenosis including microvascular abnormalities, endothelial dysfunction, vasospasm, and small vessel CAD. Abnormal coronary flow reserve and abnormal stress test results have been associated with the presence of amyloidosis deposits in intramyocardial vessels.\textsuperscript{105,127} Strongly false-positive stress echocardiograms have frequently involved atypical and mid LV segments, features that are similar to echocardiographic findings of apical ballooning syndrome.\textsuperscript{128} Invasive assessment of coronary vasomotor reactivity showed that coronary microvascular dysfunction is highly prevalent in patients with apical ballooning syndrome.\textsuperscript{129} Hence, microvascular disease, endothelial dysfunction, small vessel CAD, vasospasm, amyloidosis, and apical ballooning syndrome should be considered in the differential diagnosis of false-positive stress echocardiograms.\textsuperscript{105,127,130} Importantly, a prognostic study demonstrated that outcomes of patients with false-positive stress results were similar to those with true-positive results.\textsuperscript{131} Patients with false-positive results on stress echocardiography should receive intensive risk factor management and careful clinical follow-up.

Key Point

1. The outcomes of patients with false-positive stress results are similar to those with true-positive results. Patients with false-positive results on stress echocardiograms should receive intensive risk factor management and careful follow-up.

c. Impact of Perfusion Imaging

Perfusion imaging with myocardial contrast echocardiography has been performed in thousands of patients during DSE, treadmill exercise stress, or vasodilator stress echocardiography.\textsuperscript{7,10,12,13,77,81,82,84,86,87,131-161} In the setting of DSE or vasodilator stress echocardiography, perfusion analysis of end-systolic images has been shown to improve CAD detection when compared to wall motion analysis alone.\textsuperscript{92} The improvement appears to be related to the ischemic cascade, which has shown that perfusion abnormalities occur prior to wall motion abnormalities (Video 21, available online at www.onlinejase.com).\textsuperscript{81,162} The first multi-center studies comparing perfusion imaging with myocardial contrast echocardiography and radionuclide SPECT demonstrated a similar sensitivity and specificity between the two techniques in detecting angiographic CAD, regardless of stenosis severity.\textsuperscript{135-137} Since the initial studies, real-time VLMI imaging techniques have been developed that permit imaging at frame rates of 20 Hertz. The higher resolution of perfusion echocardiography has permitted improved detection of ischemia in patients with LBBB when compared to radionuclide SPECT imaging,\textsuperscript{118} and thus may be useful in patient populations with resting non-ischemic wall motion abnormalities such as ventricular paced rhythms. Perfusion abnormalities during demand stress have also been correlated with fractional flow reserve measurements using invasive hemodynamics. Here the correlations are not as good and reflect differences in what the two techniques are measuring. Fractional flow reserve (FFR) is determined by measuring a pressure gradient across a given stenosis in the catheterization laboratory and does not take into account the impact of capillary resistance changes in response to stress.\textsuperscript{139,163,164} Because RTMCE is measuring capillary blood velocity and blood volume, stress-induced abnormalities may develop prior to the development of an FFR abnormality when stenosis is in the 50-80% range.\textsuperscript{150,161}

d. Coronary Flow Reserve

Assessment of coronary flow reserve by echocardiography uses coro-}

Figure 16 Outcome during follow up for patients undergoing ESE for evaluation of dyspnea alone, chest pain alone, and combined dyspnea and chest pain. Adapted from Bergeron et al.\textsuperscript{190}

dary Doppler velocity assessment with pharmacologic stress.\textsuperscript{133,164} The Doppler velocity signal should be obtained in the mid-distal left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD) by transthoracic echocardiography using a high-frequency ultrasound probe; when performed by experienced operators the feasibility of LAD imaging is high.\textsuperscript{165-168} The posterior descending coronary artery and the left circumflex coronary artery have been imaged with lower success rates. Most studies have used vasodilator stress for assessment of coronary flow reserve. DSE has been used but it may be technically challenging to obtain LAD Doppler signals at fast heart rates.\textsuperscript{169}

e. Three-Dimensional Stress Echocardiography

Three-dimensional (3D) stress echocardiography has been performed with both exercise and pharmacologic stress with good feasibility and accuracy for the detection of angiographic CAD.\textsuperscript{170,171} Advantages of 3D imaging include rapid acquisition of a complete dataset at peak stress, optimal visualization of the LV apex, which
VII. RISK STRATIFICATION AND PROGNOSIS

Stress echocardiography is routinely used for the diagnosis of flow-limiting CAD in patients with anginal symptoms or equivalent. However, an equally important objective of non-invasive stress testing is to identify patients at risk for future cardiac events and to assess prognosis. The application of prognostic testing is based on the premise that patients identified as being at the highest risk of adverse events can be intervened upon to alter the natural history of their disease process, thereby improving prognosis. Risk stratification using stress echocardiography has been demonstrated in multiple studies. However, limited data using any modality have demonstrated that application of testing changes outcomes.173

Numerous studies have demonstrated that a normal stress echocardiogram (normal regional wall motion at rest and with stress) is associated with a benign prognosis.174-177 The low event rate of 0.9%/year over the ensuing year approaches that of a normal age-matched population. Rarely are patients with normal coronary angiograms. Furthermore, outcome after a normal stress echocardiogram compares favorably with that after normal myocardial SPECT imaging (thallium-201, technetium-99 or sestamibi) with all associated with a benign prognosis.178 Exercise capacity178-180 and heart rate response181 can be used to further stratify risk in patients undergoing ESE.

Compared to a normal ESE, a normal DSE is associated with a slightly higher event rate.182 Compared to patients undergoing ESE, those undergoing DSE are generally older and have more comorbidities. Failure to achieve target heart rate during DSE is associated with a higher event rate in patients with a normal DSE.182

Peak wall motion score index (WMSI) and EF have been identified as the best predictors of cardiac events. In a study of 1,500 patients undergoing stress echocardiography (34% treadmill, 66% dobutamine) with a follow-up of 2.7 ± 1 years, non-fatal myocardial infarction and cardiac death occurred in 31 and 44 patients, respectively. A normal stress echocardiogram, with a WMSI of 1 during stress, conferred a benign prognosis (0.9%/year cardiac event rate), whereas intermediate WMSI (1.1-1.7) and high WMSI (≥1.7), as well as an ejection fraction of ≤45% further stratified risk.174 Peak stress WMSI effectively risk stratified patients into low- (0.9%/year), intermediate- (3.1%/year), and high-risk (5.2%/year) groups for cardiac events.174

a. Extent and Severity of Wall Motion Abnormalities

When interpreting stress echocardiograms, both extent and severity of wall motion abnormalities should be evaluated. The ischemic extent and maximal severity are exponentially correlated with an increase in cardiovascular adverse events.174,183 The number of LV wall segments with new wall motion abnormalities is an indicator of the extent of ischemia, and maximal severity or magnitude of new wall motion abnormality is an index of severity of ischemia or degree of stenosis. Ischemic extent reflects the area of myocardium in jeopardy (number of segments demonstrating new wall motion abnormalities) whereas severity reflects the maximum magnitude of wall motion abnormalities. Both ischemic extent and magnitude should be evaluated with stress. The predicted event rate ranged from a low of 0.9%/year in patients without any wall motion abnormalities to as high as 6.7%/year in patients with extensive and severe wall motion abnormalities.184 The extent and severity of wall motion abnormalities by stress echocardiography are both independent and cumulative predictors of prognosis.185 These findings compare favorably with those using SPECT.186 There is an exponential relationship between the extent and severity of stress-induced hypoperfusion event rate (r = 0.97 P = .001). Incorporating both ischemic extent and severity of wall motion abnormalities by stress echocardiography helps to accurately assess prognosis.184

b. Transient Ischemic LV Dilatation

Using receiver-operator curves (ROC), the rest-to-stress LV volumes ratio of >1.7 was the best threshold for defining transient ischemic dilatation. However, this is often assessed visually by comparing rest and stress images side by side, without measurement.183 Patients with an abnormal stress echocardiogram and transient ischemic dilatation had greater extent and severity of wall motion abnormalities, higher peak WMSI, higher percentage of multivessel disease, and greater adverse event rate (19.7%) compared to patients without dilatation with ischemia (2.9%/yr). It should be noted that a stress-induced increase in end-systolic size is more commonly observed with ESE rather than with DSE.181

c. RV Ischemia

Right ventricular function has significant prognostic implications in patients with CAD and heart failure.187 With stress echocardiography, assessing RV abnormalities (ischemia or infarction) can further help risk stratify and add prognostic value to LV parameters. Peak RV WMSI provides incremental prognostic value over rest and conventional stress echocardiographic variables (global chi square increased from 141.4 to 161.8).188 Thus, assessment of the RV using additional subcostal views should be considered in patients undergoing stress echocardiography for more accurate and effective risk stratification. Compared to the LV, the RV has lesser mass and lower systolic and end-diastolic pressures; therefore, unlike the LV, the RV receives...
blood flow in both systole and diastole, creating more favorable coronary supply. However, despite this, given that both the RV and LV share a common septum, have overlapping blood supply, are enclosed by spiral muscle fibers, and are bound together by the pericardium, there is ventricular interdependence such that hemodynamic and functional changes of one chamber may affect the other.\textsuperscript{189} The function and importance of the RV is now being increasingly recognized; as the peak RV WMSI increases, prognosis worsens. \textsuperscript{188} Patients with both abnormal RV and LV have worse outcomes. When the RV WMSI is greater than 2 using a 3-segment model, the event rates were as high as 11.4%.\textsuperscript{189}

d. Stress Echocardiography in Patients with Dyspnea

Compared to patients presenting with chest pain alone, patients referred for ESE for evaluation of dyspnea have been shown to have a more than 2-fold higher incidence of myocardial infarction, cardiac death, non-cardiac death, and coronary revascularization\textsuperscript{190} (Figure 16). Ischemia by ESE is more commonly present in patients with dyspnea than with chest pain (Figure 17). ESE provided independent prognostic information for identifying patients at risk of cardiac events and death.\textsuperscript{190} Among dyspneic patients unable to exercise and referred for DSE, ejection fraction, resting wall motion abnormalities, abnormal left ventricular end-systolic volume response to stress, and failure to achieve target heart rate were independently associated with all-cause mortality.\textsuperscript{191}

Compared with other modalities of stress testing and noninvasive cardiac imaging, stress echocardiography offers the advantage that other potential cardiac etiologies of dyspnea can also be assessed at the time of testing. Diastolic function may also be assessed at the time of a stress echocardiogram.\textsuperscript{24} Diastolic dysfunction has been shown to be associated with worsened exercise capacity.\textsuperscript{192} In patients with normal systolic function and absence of exercise-induced wall motion abnormalities, the ratio of early mitral inflow diastolic velocity to early mitral annulus diastolic velocity (E/e') measured at the time of ESE has been shown to be independently associated with clinical outcomes including mortality, cardiovascular events, and heart failure or hospitalization during long-term follow-up.\textsuperscript{193} Among patients undergoing DSE, those who developed ischemia had lower diastolic annulus velocities at baseline (5.7 ± 1.9 vs 6.4 ± 1.9 cm/sec, \(P = .02\)) and at peak stress (5.2 ± 1.6 vs 7.4 ± 2.4 cm/sec, \(P < .0001\)). The increase in E/e' was greater in patients who presented with dyspnea, and E/e' at peak stress was higher in those with ischemia and persisted into recovery.\textsuperscript{194} Left atrial size, another marker of increased left ventricular filling pressure as well as mitral valve disease, can be assessed at the time of stress echocardiography and used to stratify risk.\textsuperscript{191,192,195} Pulmonary artery systolic pressure can be measured at rest and with exercise and normal values have been established over a broad range of age.\textsuperscript{196} Valvular abnormalities and cardiomyopathy, pulmonary hypertension or exercise-induced abnormalities of pulmonary pressure can also be detected during ESE or DSE in conjunction with assessment for ischemia.

Key Point

1. ESE or DSE are appropriate for evaluation of the patient presenting with exertional dyspnea. In addition to detecting ischemia, diastolic dysfunction, pulmonary hypertension and other cardiac causes of this symptom can be readily detected (Class of recommendation 1, level of evidence B).
The addition of perfusion analysis with UEAs has also improved the specificity of stress echocardiography in detecting significant CAD, when compared to radionuclide SPECT imaging. UEAs have also been useful for evaluating wall thickening in the segments that are dysynchronous.

Key Points

1. ESE or DSE may be used for detection of ischemia and risk stratification in patients with LBBB (Class of recommendation 1, level of evidence B).
2. In LBBB, stress echocardiography allows recognition of non-ischemic conditions also associated with LBBB.

**Table 9** Responses of dysfunctional myocardium to dobutamine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low dose</th>
<th>High dose</th>
<th>Improve with revascularization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stunned</strong>*</td>
<td>Improve from rest</td>
<td>Decrease from low dose with severe stenosis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Improve from low dose, no change, decrease from low dose with mild to moderate stenosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hibernating</strong></td>
<td>Improve from rest, no change,</td>
<td>Decrease from low dose if initial improvement with expected severe stenosis</td>
<td>Yes, may be delayed or partial improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>decrease from rest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remodeled</strong>*</td>
<td>Improve from rest, no change</td>
<td>Improve from low dose, no change from low with no or mild stenosis</td>
<td>Possibly if LV volume, wall stress decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limited nontransmural infarction</strong>**</td>
<td>Improve from rest</td>
<td>No change, decrease from low dose with severe stenosis</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Improve from low dose, no change, decrease from low dose with mild to moderate stenosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limited nontransmural infarction with stunning, hibernation</strong></td>
<td>Improve from rest</td>
<td>Decrease from low dose or from rest with severe stenosis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Improved from low dose if stunned, no change, decrease from low dose with mild to moderate stenosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extensive nontransmural infarction</strong></td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>No change with severe stenosis</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transmural infarction</strong></td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>No change with mild to moderate stenosis</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A proportion of stunned segments not subtended by severe stenosis may have sustained or continued improvement with higher dose dobutamine.

**It is assumed that severe stenosis is always present with hibernation. A proportion of these segments will not improve with dobutamine because of limited perfusion, and/or degraded contractile proteins.

***Remodeled segments may or may not improve with dobutamine, depending on the severity of remodeling, and the degree of reduction in wall stress and cavity volume. It is assumed that remodeled segments are not supplied by obstructed vessels.

****Depending on the extent of nontransmural injury, segments with partial injury may not exhibit contractile reserve until higher dose dobutamine infusion.

The addition of perfusion analysis with UEAs has also improved the specificity of stress echocardiography in detecting significant CAD, when compared to radionuclide SPECT imaging. UEAs have also been useful for evaluating wall thickening in the segments that are dysynchronous.

**f. Preoperative Risk Stratification**

The leading cause of mortality after anesthesia and surgery is perioperative cardiac complications. For pre-operative risk stratification, exercise testing is the modality of choice in most patients who can ambulate. Exercise provides an estimate of functional capacity and hemodynamic response with exercise. However, many patients undergoing intermediate- and high-risk operations (such as vascular surgery) are unable to exercise or have abnormalities on their resting electrocardiogram precluding exercise ECG stress testing. High-risk patients often have limited exercise capacity because of non-cardiac diseases such as peripheral artery disease, atherosclerosis, arthritis, and chronic pulmonary disease; in these, pharmacological stress testing is preferred. DSE has been shown to be useful for perioperative risk stratification in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery, including major vascular surgery and non-vascular surgery. The strongest predictors of postoperative adverse events were ischemia during DSE and a history of congestive heart failure. DSE findings, including the heart rate at which ischemia develops, and the degree of reduction in wall stress and cavity volume, is assumed that remodeled segments are not supplied by obstructed vessels. Depending on the extent of nontransmural injury, segments with partial injury may not exhibit contractile reserve until higher dose dobutamine infusion.
g. Impact of Contrast on Prognosis

Prognostically, the incremental value of myocardial perfusion imaging over wall motion analysis in predicting patient outcome has been demonstrated in primarily single center studies with bicycle stress, treadmill exercise, DSE, 7,8,143-145 and dipyridamole stress. 139 In each of these settings, delayed replenishment of contrast during a continuous infusion of microbubbles was seen in a significant percentage of patients in the absence of wall motion abnormalities (Videos 21 and 22, available online at www.onlinejase.com), and appeared to have independent predictive value. The incremental value of perfusion imaging with VLMI has also been demonstrated in a prospective randomized comparison in over 2000 patients, where the control group received UEAs for the FDA-approved indication, and where UEAs were used with low MI harmonic imaging. In this setting, VLMI imaging not only detected perfusion defects in the absence of RWM abnormalities, but also improved the detection of RWM abnormalities due to less basal segment attenuation and better detection of subendocardial ischemia. 143

VIII. ASSESSMENT OF MYOCARDIAL VIABILITY

The recognition that ischemia-induced LV systolic dysfunction could improve with revascularization provided the rationale for the detection of viable myocardium with the potential for functional recovery. Nonrandomized investigations showed that patients with chronic ventricular dysfunction and multivessel disease have improvement of global function and prognosis with revascularization. 216 Chronic myocardial dysfunction without injury may be a manifestation of repetitive episodes of ischemia causing myocardial stunning 217-219 (Figure 18). The contractile function of regions with normal resting blood flow but limited perfusion reserve is vulnerable to repeated episodes of ischemia. Chronic contractile dysfunction has also been attributed to myocardial hibernation. 218 Early descriptions of this entity emphasized the presence of a reduction of function secondary to a proportionate reduction in resting perfusion. More recent studies have shown that resting perfusion is often normal or only mildly reduced in regions with persistent contractile dysfunction, suggesting that repetitive stunning and hibernation are related processes. 220,221 Hibernating myocardium is vulnerable to permanent injury and timely revascularization is necessary to avoid delayed or incomplete recovery of function. 222-224

Key Points

1. When properly performed, perfusion imaging with RTMCE improves the prognostic value of bicycle, dobutamine, and vaso- dilator stress echocardiography.
2. VLMI multi-pulse imaging with UEAs is preferred over low MI imaging to improve the detection of regional wall motion abnormalities. (Class of Recommendation I, Level of Evidence B).

b. DSE Protocols for Assessing Viability

It is recommended that imaging is performed during at least two low dose stages (2.5, 5, 7.5, 10 μg/kg/min). The length of each infusion stage can be adjusted based on the observed contractile response, change in hemodynamics, and the requirements of imaging. Testing can be performed at the bedside in subjects in the intensive care unit (ICU). Videos 26 and 27 (available online at www.onlinejase.com) show an example of a bedside viability study. Continuous echocardiographic monitoring should be performed and in the optimal setting a physician interpreter is present to monitor for subtle and sometimes transient increases in function that may occur in hibernating myocardium. 227 Discontinuation of beta-blockers is not essential but intermediate doses of dobutamine (15-20 μg/kg/ min) may be needed to elicit a contractile response in the presence of beta-blocker therapy and the number of segments demonstrating contractile reserve may be reduced. 228 For low and intermediate dose protocols, continuous monitoring of at least several ECG leads should be performed for detection of ischemic ST-segment abnormalities and arrhythmias.

Although considerable information on viability can be obtained with low dose dobutamine, utilization of stress doses enables detection of flow-limiting stenosis by eliciting a biphasic response (Video 28, available online at www.onlinejase.com). Use of stress doses also enables identification of ischemia in regions with normal baseline wall motion, providing additional information on which regions should be revascularized. The literature often distinguishes between “low dose” and “high dose” protocols, indicating that the latter is used for detection of flow-limiting stenosis but the dose (and heart rate or
rate-pressure product) required to produce ischemia will be dependent on the severity of epicardial and microvascular disease.\textsuperscript{233} The small risks of arrhythmias or an ischemic event in patients with severe coronary artery obstruction should be considered before proceeding to high dose dobutamine infusion. High dose dobutamine infusion can be safely conducted in subjects with ischemic cardiomyopathy.\textsuperscript{234,235}

c. Interpretation of Wall Motion Response for Assessment of Viability

Table 9 displays the most common expected responses of dysfunctional myocardium to dobutamine. Segments with stunning, hibernation, remodeling, and limited nontransmural infarction are expected to exhibit contractile reserve at low doses of dobutamine in most instances. In the presence of severely reduced resting perfusion, hibernating myocardium may exhibit limited and transient contractile reserve. Lack of improvement in function with low dose dobutamine indicates more extensive nontransmural injury or transmural injury. Because of tethering effects, the subjective nature of visual assessment, and the relationship of prognosis to the number of viable segments, improvement of function in two adjacent segments is considered a more reliable sign of significant viability than slight improvement in an isolated segment. Functional recovery with revascularization may be expected in segments with stunning or hibernation but not necessarily in segments with limited nontransmural infarction unless there is superimposed ischemia.\textsuperscript{236} Remodeled segments may not improve in function with revascularization unless there are reductions in LV volume and wall stress.

The responses of viable myocardium to high dose dobutamine can vary based on the presence and extent of nontransmural injury and the severity of coronary artery obstruction. Hibernating segments are expected to exhibit a biphasic response in most cases, an assumption that is supported by studies that this response has the highest positive predictive value for functional recovery (Video 28, available online at www.onlinejase.com).\textsuperscript{237,239} Segments with sustained improvement of contraction are less likely to exhibit functional recovery.\textsuperscript{233,237} The majority of these segments may be remodeled or have nontransmural infarction. Segments that improve in contraction only with high dose are likely to have more extensive nontransmural infarction and have little or no functional recovery. A minority of segments with resting hypokinesis exhibit worsening of function with low dose (≤10 μg/kg/min) dobutamine (Video 29, available online at www.onlinejase.com). These segments are partially viable, have severely reduced perfusion reserve, and are associated with severe, multivessel coronary artery obstruction, reduced collateral supply, and increased cardiac mortality.\textsuperscript{51,238}

d. Accuracy of DSE for Detection of Viability

Numerous studies have shown that low dose or combined low and high dose dobutamine infusion protocols have clinically useful sensitivity (75 to 80%) and specificity (80 to 85%) for identification of viable segments with functional recovery after revascularization.\textsuperscript{22,239,240} False-negative exams may occur in hibernating regions with disrupted contractile proteins that cannot respond to dobutamine but eventually manifest functional recovery months after revascularization, with reorganization of contractile proteins. Hibernating segments with severely reduced perfusion may not respond to dobutamine or the contractile response may be both transient and of a small degree below the threshold detectable by visual assessment. Demonstration of viability by DSE also has a high sensitivity (86%) to 90%) and reasonable specificity (71% to 90%) for prediction of improvement in global function and outcome (Videos 30-32, available online at www.onlinejase.com).\textsuperscript{237,241-244} The degree of improvement in global function correlates with the number of segments with contractile reserve, the magnitude of reduction in WMSI, or increase in EF with low dose dobutamine. Contractile reserve in 4 to 5 dysfunctional segments is the minimum amount of viable myocardium that predicts a ≥5% improvement in EF and improved outcomes with revascularization.\textsuperscript{216,241,243,244}

e. Quantitative Methods for Assessment of Viability

The challenges of visual assessment of wall motion and thickening in regions with resting dysfunction and the necessity of detection of often subtle changes in function provides the rationale for development of quantitative techniques. Doppler assessment of tissue velocities and displacement are useful for quantifying contractile reserve.\textsuperscript{245-247} An increase in basal segment displacement of ≥5 mm and a 5% increase in EF predict improvement of global function with revascularization with accuracies of 83% and 87%, respectively.\textsuperscript{246} The limitations of TDI of myocardial segments confine the application of this method to assessment of viability in basal and mid-portion segments.

Strain and strain rate parameters can be used to differentiate between viable and nonviable myocardium. Supplemental Table 4 (available at www.onlinejase.com) shows data from studies employing strain and strain rate imaging. Quantitative parameters useful for identifying viability include an increase in strain (shortening) or strain rate or a reduction in post-systolic shortening. Speckle-tracking has been employed in a smaller number of studies with variable results.\textsuperscript{248-250} TDI, strain, and strain rate imaging can provide a level of objectivity to the assessment of contractile reserve, potentially improving the sensitivity for viability, and can be recommended as an adjunct to visual assessment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 10 Imaging modalities for assessment of viability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Method</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wall thickness, videointensity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UEA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dobutamine Stress Echocardiography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic Resonance Imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dobutamine infusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear Imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positron emission tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECT (Thallium-201)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECT (Technetium\textsuperscript{99})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPECT FDG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FDG, Fluorine\textsuperscript{18} deoxyglucose.
f. Current Considerations in Assessment of Viability

Evidence from nonrandomized trials has suggested that patients with LV dysfunction and significant viability had improved survival with revascularization compared with medical therapy. In a meta-analysis of 24 studies, annual death rate was 3.2% in subjects with viable myocardium who underwent revascularization compared to 16% in medically treated patients with viability.216 Studies employing propensity matching also showed a survival advantage of revascularization in patients with viability.251,252 The Surgical Treatment of Ischemic Heart Failure (STICH) trial, which randomized subjects who had ischemic ventricular dysfunction (EF ≤ 35%) to coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) or optimal medical therapy, raised doubts about the utility of assessment of viability.253 In a substudy of STICH in which patients were designated as having viability based on the number of segments with tracer activity on SPECT, or ≥ 5 dysfunctional segments exhibiting contractile reserve with dobutamine, viability was not an independent predictor of death and the presence of viability did not improve 5-year survival in those who had CABG.254 Medically treated patients with viability had lower annual mortality compared to prior studies. In the STICH trial, only half of patients underwent viability testing, which was not randomized.255,256 Subjects were at lower risk in terms of the extent of CAD than populations in whom viability testing is usually considered before high risk CABG. The findings of the STICH trial, together with the results of other studies, provide the framework for these recommendations:

Optimization of endocardial border visualization with contrast should be considered for accurate assessment of volumes and EF in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy. The challenge in obtaining high-quality image data for quantitation in a multicenter study was shown by the inability to measure EF and volumes in 25% of subjects enrolled in STICH.

Reliance on ejection fraction performed during or shortly after an ischemic event may be misleading when determining the patient’s risk and whether viability testing may be needed. Many subjects with acute ischemic injury have improvement in global function due to reperfusion.242,263 WMSI and EF assess 4 or 5 segments with contractile reserve, which was the definition of acute ischemic injury have improvement in global function due to reperfusion and whether viability testing may be needed. Many subjects with ischemic cardiomyopathy. The challenge in obtaining high-quality image data for quantitation in a multicenter study was shown by the inability to measure EF and volumes in 25% of subjects enrolled in STICH.

Measures of viability that encompass assessment of global contractility, such as WMSI or EF with low dose dobutamine, may have more prognostic value compared to assessment of contractile reserve in a fixed number of dysfunctional segments.246,259-262 The presence of 4 or 5 segments with contractile reserve, which was the definition of viability utilized in STICH, has been correlated with modest (≥5%) improvement in ejection fraction that may be below the threshold of measurement variability and may also be insufficient for improvement in prognosis.242,263 WMSI and EF assess contractile function and contractile reserve of the whole ventricle rather than limiting assessment to contractile reserve in segments with severe dysfunction. Low dose WMSI may provide incremental prognostic value to a post-revascularization increase in EF for prediction of long-term outcome.263 Videos 30-32 (available online at www.onlinejase.com) show an example of prediction of the degree of functional recovery using low dose WMSI and EF response to dobutamine. In ischemic cardiomyopathy, the contractile reserve of remodeled segments and normal segments tethered to regions with severe dysfunction may have an impact on outcome in addition to the response of segments with stunning or hibernation.

Testing for viability is not needed in patients with mild LV systolic dysfunction, significant angina, limited comorbidities, and good anatomy for revascularization. However, testing for both ischemia and viability may provide useful information to guide management in patients with moderate LV dysfunction, dyspnea and/or angina, and a variable extent of CAD.264 Revascularization would be indicated with the finding of extensive ischemia. The independent prognostic value of viability might be outweighed by a combination of other factors including the extent and severity of ischemia, the extent of coronary disease, the presence and severity of mitral regurgitation, and the use of medical and device therapies.

At the far end of the spectrum are those with severe global dysfunction, advanced symptoms of heart failure, and severe multivessel disease. The extent of viable myocardium may play a larger role in predicting outcome and the benefit of revascularization in these patients.

IX. COMPARISON WITH OTHER IMAGING MODALITIES

Early studies have compared the accuracy of stress echocardiography and other imaging modalities for detection of angiographic CAD, documenting a similar accuracy.119,265 However, many patients undergoing stress testing do not undergo coronary angiography. Studies on the prognostic value of tests allow assessment of large numbers of patients undergoing stress testing but not necessarily coronary angiography. Smaller studies have compared stress echocardiography with other imaging in the same patient population.119,266,267 Large studies and meta-analyses have compared the predictive value of stress echocardiography and SPECT in different patients with similar characteristics, noting comparable results.119,267,268 The Prospective Multicenter Imaging Study for Evaluation of Chest Pain (PROMISE) study compared anatomic imaging using computed tomographic angiography versus functional imaging in 10,003 stable patients with new symptoms suggestive of CAD. Functional testing was performed according to the treating doctor’s preference with exercise electrocardiography, stress SPECT, or stress echocardiography; stress echocardiography was performed in only 1,083 patients. Event rates in both functional and anatomic groups were comparable over a median follow-up of 25 months.269 It should be noted that none of these studies of the comparative value of stress echocardiography versus other modalities have considered the incremental value of ancillary finding detected by echocardiographic imaging performed at the time of the stress echocardiogram, including detection of nonischemic causes of cardiac symptoms.

Various imaging methods for assessing viability have also been compared; the most common methods are listed in Table 10, along with the marker used to identify viable myocardium. In a prospective study of patients with LV dysfunction, rest redistribution SPECT/Tc99m had a better sensitivity, but DSE a better specificity for predicting viable myocardium and recovery of function.31 For viable myocardium to exhibit a response to dobutamine, an adequate amount of viable tissue must be present, the contractile apparatus must be intact, and some residual perfusion reserve must be present. The ability to demonstrate contractile reserve sharply decreases as the extent of interstitial fibrosis approaches and exceeds 50%. Dobutamine magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) also relies on detection of contractile reserve. MRI utilizing gadolinium contrast detects regions of scar with delayed enhancement. The presence of scar in <50% of the thickness of the myocardium is a commonly used cutoff value for viability. PET and
SPECT nuclear imaging techniques enable detection of tissue perfusion, with PET possessing higher resolution and the capability for quantitation. A commonly used definition for viable myocardium is the presence of SPECT tracer activity that is at least 50% of tracer activity found in normal myocardium. Additionally, PET or SPECT imaging with FDG (fluorine-18-deoxyglucose) enables assessment of myocardial glucose utilization, which preferentially occurs in hibernating and stunned myocardium and in normal myocardium in glucose loaded states. FDG imaging is performed in combination with perfusion imaging. Stunned segments have normal to increased FDG activity and normal perfusion. Hibernating segments exhibit reduced perfusion, and normal to increased FDG activity, defined as a “mismatch” pattern. Segments with severe reduction in both perfusion and FDG activity (matched pattern) are considered nonviable.

The comparative sensitivity and specificity of the various imaging modalities was reported in several comprehensive review articles. The reported sensitivity of nuclear perfusion imaging techniques was higher than DSE since larger amounts of viable myocardium and preservation of the contractile apparatus are necessary for detection of viability by the latter method. However, the specificity of methods for predicting functional recovery that assess contractile reserve is substantially higher than methods that assign viability on the basis of residual perfusion or lack of scar. Regions with nontransmural infarction with residual perfusion tracer activity or midmyocardial-epicardial viability by MRI may not improve function unless there is superimposed stunning or hibernation.

PET FDG imaging is generally regarded as having the highest sensitivity for detection of viable myocardium. Hibernating segments with the mismatch pattern and substantially reduced resting perfusion are less likely to exhibit contractile reserve than stunned segments with normal perfusion. The specificity of the PET mismatch pattern for functional recovery may be lower than expected, since regions with this pattern represent vulnerable, hibernating myocardium predisposed to permanent ischemic injury if revascularization is delayed, or have incomplete functional recovery because of degraded contractile apparatus.

There are less data on the comparative sensitivity and specificity of the various imaging modalities for prediction of recovery of global ventricular systolic function. FDG and perfusion imaging techniques have greater sensitivity for identifying subjects with improvement in global function and DSE has greater specificity. However, other echocardiographic studies have shown both high sensitivity and specificity of contractile reserve for prediction of improvement of global function.

Comparative safety of testing modalities should also be considered. The absence of radiation with stress echocardiography makes it an attractive technique for women, because of the radiation sensitivity of breast tissue, and young patients, considering the lifetime cumulative exposure, particularly when serial studies may be required. Organ-specific radiation doses for cardiac SPECT have been shown to be highest for the liver, then heart, thyroid, lung, and breast, whereas for cardiac CT, the breast receives the highest dose. Gadolinium administration as required for CMR may also be undesirable, especially in patients with kidney disease.
Key Points

1. Stress echocardiography has an accuracy similar to that of other stress imaging techniques for detection of angiographic CAD.
2. Stress echocardiography has also been demonstrated to provide similar prognostic information compared to other stress imaging techniques and computed tomography angiography.
3. Comparative studies of the accuracy and prognostic value of stress echocardiography versus other techniques have not considered the incremental value of the additional information available at the time of stress echocardiography regarding the wall thicknesses, chamber sizes, valvular abnormalities, diastolic function, etc., that are assessed at the time of rest imaging.
4. Contractile reserve by DSE compares favorably with other methods for predicting recovery of systolic function of viable segments; compared to perfusion imaging techniques, DSE has mildly lower sensitivity but better specificity.
5. Absence of radiation or need for gadolinium as well as cost benefit makes stress echocardiography an attractive technique for many patients.

X. RADIATION-INDUCED CORONARY ARTERY DISEASE

Radiation-induced cardiovascular disease is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in long-term cancer survivors. It may affect ostial coronary arteries in a multivessel distribution. ESE has proven useful in screening for ischemia in patients treated with radiation therapy.274,275

Multivessel disease can be more difficult to detect by nuclear imaging, since there is an increased likelihood of false-negative nuclear studies in the setting of balanced ischemia. In contrast, with stress echocardiography, ischemia involving multiple coronary arteries subtends larger regions of ventricular wall segments, and ischemia is readily detected.

Key Point

1. Chest radiation can result in premature multivessel, ostial CAD. Stress echocardiography has advantages over nuclear imaging in the noninvasive assessment of multivessel CAD, secondary to the lower false-negative rate.

XI. STRESS ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY IN PEDIATRIC PATIENTS AND CONGENITAL HEART DISEASE

ESE is very well tolerated in the pediatric population, since it requires no intravenous line placement, sedation, or radiation exposure. ESE can be performed in children who are old enough to exercise on a treadmill or bicycle, and is therefore generally utilized in those 6 years and older.276,277,278 Eleven-year data of the distribution of diagnoses of patients undergoing stress echocardiography for ischemia at a single center are shown (Figure 19). Despite exercise being well-tolerated in children, treadmill ESE may be underutilized as a non-invasive imaging modality for ischemia in pediatric centers due to lack of experience and familiarity with the methods and the relatively small numbers of pediatric patients in whom ischemia is suspected.

The rapid decrease in peak heart rate post-exercise and the abundant reserve and extensive collateral circulation in children can make ESE challenging for assessment of ischemia. Consequently, pediatric centers mostly have utilized DSE and recumbent bicycle stress testing, since these techniques allow for imaging at multiple time periods. These advantages may facilitate the implementation of stress echocardiography in pediatric cardiology practices. Supplemental Table 5 (available at www.onlinejase.com) summarizes pediatric stress echocardiography studies, the type of stress used, and the findings.

Key Points

1. Pediatric stress echocardiography using exercise or dobutamine stress has been increasingly utilized for the detection of ischemia and assessment of exercise tolerance.
2. ESE is extremely well-tolerated in children as it requires no sedation, needle stick, or radiation exposure and can be considered for children age 6 or older.

Pediatric or adult congenital patients who are at high risk for ischemia and premature CAD include patients with (a) prior cardiac transplantation, (b) Kawasaki disease with coronary aneurysms, (c) anomalous aortic origin of the coronary artery, (d) familial hyperlipidemia, (e) transposition of the great arteries, status post arterial switch operation, and other congenital heart surgeries where the coronary arteries have been re-implanted, as well as those with prior chest radiation. Applications of stress echocardiography in nonischemic conditions have been discussed in detail in ASE/EACVI recommendations.4

a. Pediatric Cardiac Transplantation

Transplant-induced coronary vasculopathy is an important cause of graft loss, cardiac re-transplantation, and late mortality in cardiac transplant recipients in both adults and children.278 Although annual coronary angiography has been the gold standard for the screening of epicardial CAD in children, DSE in children was shown to be safe and feasible, with good sensitivity and specificity.277 In a large series of patients, an increased likelihood of an abnormal DSE with higher grade of angiographically determined coronary vasculopathy was observed.275 Chen reported that ESE had 89% sensitivity and 92% specificity for detection of CAD, and a 97% negative predictive value for exclusion of coronary artery vasculopathy.276 Consequently, asymptomatic children with a negative stress echocardiogram can extend the interval between angiograms to 2 years, reducing radiation exposure.

Key Points

1. Either DSE or ESE, which both have a high negative predictive value, are recommended to help extend the interval between angiograms in the asymptomatic pediatric transplant recipient.
2. For older children who are able to perform an exercise test, this type of test is preferred over DSE for the same reasons as for adults.

b. Kawasaki Disease

Kawasaki disease (KD) is the leading cause of acquired IHD in children and is characterized by a systemic inflammatory vasculitis that may involve the coronary arteries and lead to coronary artery dilation and coronary aneurysms (Figure 20). Although regression may occur, aneurysms may transition to stenotic or thrombotic CAD.

In children with KD, DSE had excellent specificity and negative predictive value. A positive DSE was also associated with significant
### Table 11 Stress echocardiography orientation and competency checklist

#### Stress Echocardiography Orientation and Competency Checklist

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institutional Name:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sonographer name:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preceptor name:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dates of training:</td>
<td># of studies performed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Areas of Orientation and Competency Verification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Review of:</th>
<th>Reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indications for stress echo testing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrasound equipment and presets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supine bicycle, protocol and settings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treadmill, protocol and settings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dobutamine protocol and settings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery territories</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraindications for stress echo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required images / data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress echo protocol for ischemia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress echo protocol for viability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress echo protocol for diastolic function</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adding stages for an expanded protocol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factors that may cause false positive stress echo response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factors that may cause false negative stress echo response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factors that may cause false positive stress ecg response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factors that may cause false negative stress ecg response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endpoints to terminate stress testing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorporating UEAs into stress protocol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required documentation for report preparation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary report preparation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Able to:                                                                 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Able to:</th>
<th>Exceptional</th>
<th>Satisfactory</th>
<th>Needs development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Select appropriate protocol / preset for study indication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognize contraindication to stress echo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determine peak targeted heart rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perform supine bicycle stress echo study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perform treadmill stress echo study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perform dobutamine stress echo study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obtain requisite images and at each stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognize wall motion abnormalities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognize viable segments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognize false positive or negative stress echo response factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add stages to protocol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorporate UEAs in stress echo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognize symptoms/ecg/echo findings to terminate exam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepare preliminary report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendations for non-invasive stress testing for IHD</td>
<td>Class of recommendation</td>
<td>Level of evidence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In patients with suspected stable CAD, intermediate pretest probability and preserved ejection fraction, stress imaging, such as stress echocardiography, is preferred as the initial test option.</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In patients without typical angina, an imaging stress test, such as stress echocardiography, is recommended as the initial test for diagnosing stable CAD if the pretest probability is high or if LVEF is reduced.</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In patients with suspected CAD and with resting ECG abnormalities, which prevent accurate interpretation of ECG changes during stress, an imaging stress test, such as stress echocardiography, is recommended.</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In patients with suspected CAD and resting ECG abnormalities, which prevent accurate interpretation of ECG changes during stress, an imaging stress test, such as stress echocardiography, is recommended.</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress echocardiography is the preferred test for women with an indication for an noninvasive imaging stress test for known or suspected CAD because of its safety (absence of radiation to the breasts), and greater specificity (absence of breast attenuation artifact)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESE is the preferred imaging stress test for children with suspected IHD because of the absence of radiation to developing tissues and absence of need for an intravenous line, and the provision of the prognostically important assessment of exercise capacity</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A pharmacologic stress test, such as DSE, is recommended for patients with the above indications for a stress imaging test who are unable to exercise.</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress echocardiography is the preferred test in patients with exertional dyspnea of uncertain etiology. In these patients, in addition to assessment of regional wall motion, tricuspid regurgitation velocity and diastolic function should be assessed at rest and during stress</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An imaging stress test, such as stress echocardiography, should be considered in patients with prior coronary artery revascularization (PCI or CABG) and new cardiac symptoms.</td>
<td>IIa</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An imaging stress test, such as stress echocardiography, should be considered to assess the functional severity of intermediate lesions on coronary arteriography.</td>
<td>IIa</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendations for risk stratification using ischemia testing</th>
<th>Class of recommendation</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A stress imaging test such as stress echocardiography for risk stratification is recommended in patients with an inconclusive exercise ECG</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A stress imaging test, such as stress echocardiography, is recommended for risk stratification in patients with known stable CAD and a deterioration in symptoms if the site and extent of ischemia would influence clinical decision making</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In asymptomatic adults with diabetes, peripheral vascular disease, or a strong family history of CAD, or when previous risk assessment testing suggests high risk of CAD, such as a coronary artery calcium score of ≥400, a stress imaging test, such as stress echocardiography, may be considered for advanced cardiovascular risk assessment.</td>
<td>IIb</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation for re-assessment in patients with stable CAD</th>
<th>Class of recommendation</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>An exercise ECG or stress imaging test such as stress echocardiography is recommended in the presence of recurrent or new symptoms once instability has been ruled out.</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In symptomatic patients with revascularized stable CAD, a stress imaging test, such as stress echocardiography, is indicated rather than stress ECG.</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reassessment of prognosis using a stress test, such as stress echocardiography, may be considered in asymptomatic patients after the expiration of the period for which the previous test was felt to be valid</td>
<td>IIb</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendations for stress echocardiography in the context of non-cardiac surgery</th>
<th>Class of recommendation</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A pharmacologic stress imaging test such as DSE is recommended before high-risk surgery in patients with more than two clinical risk factors and poor functional capacity (&lt;4 METs).</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A pharmacologic stress imaging test such as DSE may be considered before high-risk or intermediate-risk surgery in patients with suspected cardiac symptoms and poor functional capacity (&lt;4 METs).</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
coronary abnormalities. Fifteen-year cumulative event-free survival was 25% if the WMSI was ≥1.25 and 92% in patients with a WMSI <1.25. ESE has been used successfully in the diagnosis of ischemia in high-risk patients and predicted late sequelae for cardiovascular disease.

Key Point

1. Stress echocardiography is useful for serial screening and prognosis in KD patients with coronary aneurysms; wall motion score index can be used to prognosticate 15-year cumulative event-free survival.

c. Anomalous Origin of a Coronary Artery

Although relatively rare, anomalous coronary artery syndrome is the second most common cause of non-traumatic sudden cardiac death in young athletes. High-risk variants for sudden death include anomalous origin of the left coronary artery with an intramural course or an inter-arterial course. Surgical unroofing of the coronary artery or repair is performed in patients with high-risk variants, especially those who participate in strenuous activities; however, the clinical management of asymptomatic patients with anomalous origin of the right coronary artery is still a subject of debate. Stress echocardiography, along with multi-modality stress imaging, may assist in the decision of which patients to manage medically or surgically. ESE also has begun to be implemented in the routine post-operative follow-up of children after unroofing or re-implantation of the anomalous coronary artery. ESE also provides baseline data for children with AOCA prior to surgery or in patients who do not undergo surgery.

Key Point

1. Stress echocardiography provides helpful information in the pre-operative diagnosis and serial long-term follow-up of patients with AOCA. (Class of Recommendation IIa, Level of Evidence B)

d. Transposition of the Great Arteries, Status Post Arterial Switch Operation

Dextro-loop transposition of the great arteries (d-TGA) is most commonly repaired by an arterial switch operation (ASO) performed at birth, which requires re-implantation of the coronary arteries. Although overall long-term survival is above 95%, coronary artery stenosis, impingement, or occlusion may occur in about 5% of patients. Given the excellent survival rates, the optimal timing and the non-invasive method to screen for ischemia in asymptomatic ASO patients need further investigation.

e. Familial Hypercholesterolemia

In patients with homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia, untreated low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol concentrations exceed 400 to 500 mg/dl in childhood and can result in severe atherosclerotic heart disease, myocardial infarction in children, and mortality before age 20. Given the need for continued intensive follow-up, serial assessment by pediatric stress echocardiography may be useful.

XII. TRAINING REQUIREMENTS AND MAINTENANCE OF COMPETENCY

a. Sonographer Training

Guidelines for sonographer training in stress echocardiography are shown in Table 11. Sonographers embarking on stress echocardiography training for the evaluation of IHD should optimally have independently performed 1,000 complete resting transthoracic echocardiograms (TTE) with a minimum of one year’s experience (preferably two years) in the field of echocardiography. They should have extensive experience in evaluating patients with CAD. They must (a) demonstrate technical competence and strong scanning ability when performing resting TTEs, (b) obtain high quality on-axis images and recognize pathophysiology, and (c) differentiate normal from abnormal function of both left and right ventricles. The sonographer must understand coronary distribution and perfusion territories, the 16- and 17-segment wall motion plots, and the corresponding echocardiographic views (Figure 8). The sonographer trainee must be able to obtain high-quality images during pharmacologic or supine bicycle stress echocardiography and within 60 to 90 seconds after termination of stress (treadmill, bicycle, or pharmacologic). The sonographer trainee must understand the indications and contraindications for performance of the stress echocardiographic exam and also the end point for a particular exam. The trainee must understand the various functionalities of the equipment used for the exam (protocols, presets, adding stages, etc.).

For training, the trainee sonographer should be paired with a more senior sonographer who oversees the orientation and performance of stress echocardiograms, including reviews of the various protocols and presets of the equipment, as well as performance for assessment of both myocardial ischemia and viability. The facility should document that the trainee has met the competencies for the various aspects of the training and can perform the stress echocardiograms independently. Sonographers must be certified in basic life support training. Sonographers should perform at least 100 stress echocardiograms annually for maintenance of competency.

Sonographers should be trained in optimal use of UEAs for LVO. This should include recognizing indications for use, knowledge of pulse sequence schemes and their physics, and how to use UEAs for LVO and myocardial perfusion. ASE guidelines recommend sonographer training in both starting intravenous lines and administration of UEAs, so as to facilitate the appropriate use of UEAs in clinical practice.

b. Physician Training

Interpretation of stress echocardiographic studies is particularly challenging, and several documents have addressed the requirements for training. In order to develop consistent training guidelines across all aspects of cardiology, the COCATS level 4 Task Force 5 was developed, represented by members of both the American College of Cardiology (ACC) and ASE. The document outlines the core competence, curricular milestones, and training requirements for echocardiography. While some exposure to stress echocardiography may occur during level I training, individuals desiring to interpret stress echocardiograms must complete level II training, which includes a minimum of 150 TTE exams performed and 300 TTE exams interpreted. Training programs should include didactic lectures and require participation in the monitoring of various stress echocardiographic studies. The
trainees should understand the advantages, limitations, and risks of the different stress imaging tests (pharmacologic, bicycle, or treadmill) and integrate that information into the clinical scenario for a given patient. In addition to meeting the level II training requirements, for competence in stress echocardiography the trainee must have interpreted a minimum of 100 stress echocardiographic studies, supervised by a level III trained echocardiographer. However, level III training, which requires supervised interpretation of an additional 100 studies, is required for applying stress echocardiography to assessment of hemodynamic responses to stress, such as in valvular heart disease, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, pulmonary hypertension, and diastolic stress testing, and assessment of viability and myocardial perfusion.287 For maintenance of competency, it is recommended that physicians interpret a minimum of 100 stress echocardiograms per year, in addition to participation in relevant continuing medical education.1

c. Training for Contrast Perfusion Imaging

No formal training guidelines exist for perfusion imaging with RTMCE. RTMCE has been utilized routinely only at experienced centers. Adequate didactic and hands-on training is required to use ultrasound contrast with RTMCE. The multi-center trials using perfusion echocardiography with RTMCE have not required a systematic prerequisite training program to be completed prior to study participation, and comparative effectiveness trials with radionuclide imaging have demonstrated that this lack of prerequisite training consistently leads to reduced accuracy in CAD detection during stress testing.251 No formal training guidelines exist for perfusion imaging with RTMCE. The multi-center trials using ultrasound contrast with RTMCE. RTMCE has been utilized routinely only at experienced centers. Adequate didactic and hands-on training is required to use ultrasound contrast with RTMCE. The multi-center trials using perfusion echocardiography with RTMCE have not required a systematic prerequisite training program to be completed prior to study participation, and comparative effectiveness trials with radionuclide imaging have demonstrated that this lack of prerequisite training consistently leads to reduced accuracy in CAD detection during stress testing.251

Independent performance of perfusion imaging with continuous infusion of ultrasound contrast requires training at an experienced site.

d. Training for Pediatric Stress Echocardiography

In contrast to adult cardiology practices, the volume of patients at pediatric centers is substantially smaller. Since ischemia is less common in children than adults, pediatric cardiology practices have significantly less exposure to wall motion abnormalities and their angiographic correlations. Therefore, the acquisition of stress echocardiography skills in pediatric centers requires concerted practice over the course of several years. Sonographers who are trained in both adult and pediatric imaging are important to help build a pediatric stress echocardiography program. Furthermore, the collaboration of individuals with Level III training in adult imaging, especially those having extensive experience in stress echocardiography, and pediatric non-invasive imagers well-versed in congenital heart disease, would enable more rapid adaptation of this modality in children at different centers. Consultation with national stress echocardiography experts with combined experience in both adult and pediatric stress echocardiography may serve as a resource for new centers considering implementation of this modality in children.

Key Points

1. Dedicated training with a more senior sonographer who oversees the orientation and performance of stress echocardiograms is required for a sonographer to learn to perform stress echocardiography. This should not occur until the individual has performed at least 1,000 transthoracic echocardiograms and has a minimum of 1 year’s clinical experience.
2. Sonographers should perform at least 100 stress echocardiograms annually for maintenance of competency.

XIII. APPROPRIATE USE CRITERIA AND STRESS ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY

Increases in the use of diagnostic imaging in the 1990s and early 2000s prompted professional societies to develop guidelines for the effective use of imaging. The first effort, led by the ACC in partnership with the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, was the development of appropriate use criteria for nuclear perfusion imaging in 2005.288 Guidelines for the appropriate use of echocardiography and stress echocardiography followed in 2007 and 2008, respectively,249,289 as a collaborative effort of the ACC, the ASE, and other professional societies, and were updated in 2010.290 Most recently, the ACC has directed appropriate use criteria to not focus on a given imaging modality, but instead have considered the role of multimodality imaging in the case of a diagnosis or disease state. Relevant to stress echocardiography is the 2012 ACCF/AHA/ACP/AATS/PCNA/SCAI/STS Guideline for the Diagnosis and Management of Patients With Stable Ischemic Heart Disease, in which stress echocardiography and nuclear perfusion scintigraphy were rated as similarly appropriate for diagnosis or risk stratification of patients with symptoms of suspected cardiac etiology.250 Updated recommendations from the literature, including the current level of evidence as summarized in these guidelines for stress echocardiography are shown in Table 12.

XIV. SUMMARY

Stress echocardiography is a mature technique for the assessment of known or suspected IHD. It may be performed with either treadmill or bicycle exercise or combined with a pharmacologic agent for the patient who is unable to complete an exercise protocol. Its use has extended into pediatric populations. The feasibility is excellent with current technology and use of an image enhancing agent, where necessary. Stress echocardiography may be appropriately applied to the diagnosis of IHD, to detect viable myocardium in the patient with LV dysfunction, or stratify risk, or predict prognosis. The baseline images uniquely allow for rapid recognition of other causes of cardiac symptoms. Quantitative methods, including strain imaging, as well as myocardial perfusion imaging and 3D imaging, are important advances that will continue to place this technique at the forefront of cardiac imaging and stress testing.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stress mode</th>
<th>Feasibility per segment basis</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Sens %</th>
<th>Spec %</th>
<th>Acc %</th>
<th>Feas %</th>
<th>Sens %</th>
<th>Spec %</th>
<th>Acc %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abraham JACC 2002¹</td>
<td>Dob</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>Time to Onset Relax</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voigt Circulation 2003²</td>
<td>Dob</td>
<td>95% M-mode 85% Quantitation</td>
<td>Curved M-mode Ratio of Post Syst Strain to Max Strain &gt;35%</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanekom Eur Heart J 2007³</td>
<td>Dob</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>Peak Syst Strain Rate</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingui JACC 2007⁴</td>
<td>Dob</td>
<td>80% Strain Rate</td>
<td>Peak Syst Strain Rate Peak Syst Strain Post Syst Strain Index</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weidemann Eur Heart J 2007⁵</td>
<td>Dob</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rest to Stress Change in Peak Syst Strain &lt;0.6 s⁻¹ Ratio of Post Syst Strain to Max Strain</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takagi J Cardiology 2010⁶</td>
<td>Treadmill 5 Min Post Exercise Strain</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ratio of Time to Max Strain Post-Exercise to Time to Max Strain at Rest</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagy Eur Heart J CV Imaging 2015⁷</td>
<td>Dob</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Ratio of Post Syst Strain to Max Strain &gt;35% Peak Syst Strain Rate ≤ -1.3 s⁻¹</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Pellikka et al. Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography January 2020
Supplemental Table 2  Comparison of 2D speckle-tracking and visual analysis of wall motion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stress mode</th>
<th>Feasibility</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Sensitivity %</th>
<th>Specificity %</th>
<th>Accuracy %</th>
<th>Feasibility %</th>
<th>Sensitivity %</th>
<th>Specificity %</th>
<th>Accuracy %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ingul JACC 2007</td>
<td>DSE</td>
<td>77% Strain 84% Strain Rate</td>
<td>Peak Syst Strain Peak Syst Strain Rate</td>
<td>86 84</td>
<td>80 92</td>
<td>84 87</td>
<td>98 73</td>
<td>81 76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanekom Eur Heart J 2007</td>
<td>DSE</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>Peak Syst Strain Rate</td>
<td>82 50</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>90 53</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ng Am Heart J 2009</td>
<td>DSE</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>Peak Syst Strain</td>
<td>84 88</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>76 93</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celutkiene CV Ultrasound 2012</td>
<td>DSE</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>12 Different Markers</td>
<td>40 to 90 34 to 89 46 to 80</td>
<td>82 92 78</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yu Int J CV Imaging 2013</td>
<td>DSE Intermed. Dose</td>
<td>Peak Syst Strain Rate Time to Peak Strain</td>
<td>79 82</td>
<td>67 74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hwang J CV Ultra. 2014</td>
<td>DSE 5 Min Post</td>
<td>Peak Syst Strain 5 Min Post-Stress</td>
<td>71 83</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>72 85</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cusma-Piccione JASE 2015</td>
<td>Dip</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Peak Syst Strain Change from Low to Peak Dip Stress</td>
<td>84 92</td>
<td>44 55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggeli Cardiovasc Ultr 2015</td>
<td>DSE</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>Rest to Stress Change in Syst Strain Change in Torsion</td>
<td>81 81</td>
<td>72 82</td>
<td>78 88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joyce JASE 2015</td>
<td>DSE</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>Rest to Peak Stress Change in Syst Strain</td>
<td>87 46 60</td>
<td>11 94 64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uusitalo JASE 2016</td>
<td>DSE 1 Min Post</td>
<td>Peak Syst Strain Rate 1 min Post-Stress</td>
<td>80 with WMS 67 with WMS</td>
<td>80 60</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumbinaite Echo 2016</td>
<td>DSE</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>Peak Syst Strain</td>
<td>94 82</td>
<td>76 84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Supplemental Table 3  Diagnostic accuracy of stress echocardiography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Number of patients in the study</th>
<th>Number who underwent coronary arteriography</th>
<th>Stenosis %</th>
<th>Sensitivity %</th>
<th>Specificity %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kim17</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>DSE</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdelmoneim18</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Regadenoson and MCE</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>77 (67-85)</td>
<td>73 (57-84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neglia19</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Stress echocardiography</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>&gt;70 ECA or &gt;50 LM</td>
<td>45 (33-57)</td>
<td>90 (86-94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wong20</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Post Treadmill Exercise</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>&gt;70</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mordi21</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>DSE</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>≥70 ECA or ≥50 LM</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaikh22</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Regadenoson-atropine</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>&gt;70</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miszalski-Jamka23</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Supine Bicycle Stress, MCE</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>82 (77-85)</td>
<td>93 (83-97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caiati24</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Upright Bicycle</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caiati24</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Post Treadmill Exercise</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celutkiene9</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>DSE</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>82 (77-85)</td>
<td>93 (83-97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandraratna25</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>DSE</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falcão26</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>DSE and MCE</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>88 (75-100)</td>
<td>88 (72-100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peteiro27</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Treadmill Exercise</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peteiro27</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Supine Bicycle</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggeli28</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Adenosine Stress MPE, RT3D</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>88 (2-D)</td>
<td>64 (2-D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathias29</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>DSE and MCE</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>79 (61-97)</td>
<td>96 (89-100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnold30</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Adenosine and MCE</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Badano31</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2-D, 3-D, Dipyridamole</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>80 (3-D)</td>
<td>87 (3-D)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaibazzi32</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Dipyridamole plus Atropine, MPE</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaibazzi33</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>MPE and Dipyridamole</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu34</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Dipyridamole echocardiography</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>87 (DSE)</td>
<td>82 (DSE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takagi6</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Post Treadmill Exercise</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plińska-Gosciński35</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Right atrial pacing</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>82 (Women)</td>
<td>68 (Women)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2D, Two-dimensional imaging; DSE, dobutamine stress echocardiography; ECA, major epicardial coronary artery; LM, left main coronary artery; LVO, left ventricular opacification; MCE, myocardial contrast echocardiography; MPE, myocardial perfusion echocardiography; RT3D, Real-time three-dimensional imaging.

### Supplemental Table 4  Deformation assessment of viability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Ejection Fraction (%)</th>
<th>Reference standard</th>
<th>Quantitative parameters</th>
<th>Sensitivity, %</th>
<th>Specificity, %</th>
<th>Wall Motion</th>
<th>Wall Motion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman, R</td>
<td>Low Dose DSE</td>
<td>43 ± 9</td>
<td>FDG PET SPECT Perfusion</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanekom, L</td>
<td>Low Dose DSE</td>
<td>36 ± 8</td>
<td>Functional Recovery</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitarelli, A</td>
<td>Low and Moderate Dose DSE</td>
<td>29 ± 8</td>
<td>Functional Recovery</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fujimoto, H.</td>
<td>Low and Peak Dose DSE</td>
<td>52 ± 10</td>
<td>Functional Recovery</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bansal, M</td>
<td>Low Dose DSE</td>
<td>35 ± 11</td>
<td>Functional Recovery</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosner, A</td>
<td>Low and Moderate Dose DSE</td>
<td>49 ± 9</td>
<td>Functional Recovery by Strain</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ran, H</td>
<td>Adenosine 140 μg/kg/min</td>
<td>40 ± 6</td>
<td>FDG PET SPECT Perfusion</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li, L</td>
<td>Low Dose DSE</td>
<td>43 ± 6</td>
<td>Functional Recovery</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DSE, Dobutamine stress echocardiography; Dop, doppler; FDG PET, fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography; SPECT, single photon emission computed tomography computed tomography.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease</th>
<th>Author year</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Type of stress</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac Transplant Recipients</td>
<td>Chen</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>47 Median 16.9 yrs old; median post-tx: 7.9 yrs</td>
<td>ESE, treadmill</td>
<td>In cardiac tx, ESE has excellent negative predictive value for exclusion of epicardial CAD. Largest series of ESE in pediatric cardiac tx patients and use of treadmill exercise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Di Filippo</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>18 Mean 13.5 yrs old; mean post-tx: 5.1 yrs</td>
<td>DSE</td>
<td>In cardiac tx, DSE is safe and feasible. Normal DSE predicts absence of significant CAD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dipchand</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>102 Median 17 months old; median post-tx: 10 months</td>
<td>DSE</td>
<td>In cardiac tx, DSE correlates with higher grade of CAV. DSE may be used for initial screening for CAV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Larsen</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>78 Median 5.7 yrs old; mean post-tx: 4.6 yrs</td>
<td>DSE</td>
<td>In cardiac tx, DSE is sensitive, specific, and accurate, and is useful in determining event-free survival at 2 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawasaki Disease (KD)</td>
<td>Pahl</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>28 Median 10.7 yrs. old; mean post-KD: 7.3 yrs.</td>
<td>ESE, treadmill</td>
<td>In KD, ESE is safe, non-invasive, and superior to ECG stress test alone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Noto</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>50 Mean 13.6 yrs old; mean post KD: 5.8 yrs</td>
<td>DSE</td>
<td>In KD, DSE is a safe and accurate diagnostic method for detection of coronary artery stenosis in kids.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Noto</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>58 Mean 23.8 yrs old; mean post-KD: 14.7 yrs</td>
<td>DSE</td>
<td>DSE provided independent prognostic information 15 yrs post-KD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood Cancer Survivors</td>
<td>Ryerson</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>80 Mean 13 yrs old; Mean post-cancer: 8-9 yrs</td>
<td>ESE, bike</td>
<td>In childhood cancer pts treated w/ anthracycline, strain imaging is feasible, and at their young age, no significant decrease in function with stress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chen</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>182 78% childhood cancer survivors; mean post-cancer: 17 yrs</td>
<td>ESE, treadmill</td>
<td>In asymptomatic long-term survivors of childhood cancer (Hodgkin’s) treated with radiation, 5% on ESE had occult CAD requiring intervention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital Heart Disease</td>
<td>Brothers</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>24 Median: 12 yrs</td>
<td>ESE, bike and treadmill</td>
<td>In patients with anomalous aortic origin of the coronary arteries (AAOCA), multimodality testing is useful for ischemia and post-operative care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hasan</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>40 Median: 17 yrs</td>
<td>ESE, treadmill</td>
<td>In obstructed RV-PA conduit patients, ESE was feasible in detecting abnormal ventricular function and conduit dysfunction at peak exercise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Type of stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pauliks[^5]</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Mean: 14.9 years old; mean 5.4 yrs s/p Ross</td>
<td>ESE, treadmill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology And Imaging</td>
<td>Ciliberti[^6]</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Median 14.5 yrs</td>
<td>ESE, semi-supine bike</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AAOCA, Anomalous aortic origin of a coronary artery; CAD, coronary artery disease; CAV, cardiac allograft vasculopathy; CHD, congenital heart disease; DSE, dobutamine stress echocardiography; F/u, follow-up; KD, Kawasaki Disease; RVF, right ventricular function; Tx, transplant.
SUPPLEMENTARY REFERENCES


27. Gaibazzi N, Rigo F, Squier A, Ugo F, Reverberi C. Incremental value of contrast myocardial perfusion to detect intermediate versus severe


