

Ultrasound Enhancing Agents: *Recommended Laboratory Practices from ASE*



2530 MERIDIAN PARKWAY I SUITE 450 I DURHAM, NC 27713 I USA

ASEcho.org | ContrastZone.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION Page 3

ADMINISTRATION AND BILLING OF UEAs

Page 4

INFORMED CONSENT

Page 8

SAFETY OF ULTRASOUND ENHANCING AGENTS AND CONTRAST ULTRASOUND

Page 10

Contributors

Jonathan R. Lindner, MD, FASE (Chair) Oregon Health & Science University

James Hodovan, MS, RDCS (AE, PE), CVT Oregon Health & Science University

Sharon Mulvagh, MD, FASE, FRCP(C), FACC *Queen Elizabeth II Health Sciences Centre*

Margaret M. Park, BS, ACS, RDCS, RVT, FSDMS, FASE Cleveland Clinic

> Thomas R. Porter, MD, FASE University of Nebraska Medical Center

G. Monet Strachan, ACS, RDCS, FASE University of California San Diego

Kevin S. Wei, MD, FASE Oregon Health & Science University

ASE gratefully acknowledges the support of the following industry partners in the publication of this resource. There was no industry influence on the content.







2530 MERIDIAN PARKWAY I SUITE 450 I DURHAM, NC 27713 I USA ASEcho.org I ContrastZone.com

2



Contrast echocardiography is a cardiac ultrasound imaging technique that relies on the detection of FDA-approved ultrasound enhancing agents (UEAs) that are composed of encapsulated microbubbles that reside within the blood pool. While the technique requires dedicated education on the part of the sonographer and physician imaging team, it is no longer considered to be an "advanced" technique for specialized laboratories. Instead, contrast echocardiography is now considered by the American Society of Echocardiography (ASE) and imaging societies worldwide to be an indispensable part of the practice of echocardiography. This priority statement is based on extensive data demonstrating the ability of contrast echocardiography to: (i) improve the quality of echocardiography and reduce error, (ii) detect life-threatening conditions, (iii) improve laboratory efficiency and cost-effectiveness, (iv) reduce interobserver variability in interpretation, and (v) provide information on disease processes that would not be possible without

the use of UEAs. The importance of the technique is also underscored by mandates for contrast echocardiography components in the curriculum for accredited sonographer and physician training programs, for laboratory accreditation, and for board certification in Adult Cardiology and Echocardiography.

ASE first introduced guidelines for the use of UEAs in contrast echocardiography in 2008. A second guideline document was released in 2018. The latest guideline document is comprehensive in its description of indications, methods, and laboratory policies related to the use of UEAs. The focused document provided here contains a synopsis of information that experts in the field of echocardiography view to be important for facilitating laboratory, clinic, or hospital policy decisions regarding the practice of contrast echocardiography. Information is provided on contrast storage, administration, consent policies, billing, and safety.



Large metastatic tumor delineated during left ventricular opacification.

1. Enhancing Agents

There are three commercially available UEAs approved for use in the United States for cardiac imaging: Optison, Definity (Luminity in Europe), and Lumason (Sonovue outside the USA). All contain a high molecular weight gas that is low in terms of solubility and diffusivity in order to optimize their in vivo stability and reduce surface tension. The shell of Optison is composed of human serum albumin, whereas Definity and Lumason possess a phospholipid shell. Optison and Definity have a gas core composed of octafluoropropane (perflutren) gas whereas Lumason contains sulfur hexafluoride gas. The specific lipid composition and charge differ between Lumason and Definity. Optison and Definity require refrigeration before use, whereas Lumason is stored as a dry lyophilized powder without refrigeration. Preparation requirements for each of the agents differ: Definity requires activation with a mechanical agitator, Optison requires a resuspension of the bubbles by hand, and Lumason requires mixing and hand agitation.^{1,2} All agents should be vented prior to withdrawal to prevent pressure-related degradation of the agent.

- There are currently three commercially available UEAs that differ in their composition and concentration.
- Storage recommendations and the physical preparation of the UEAs differ between the agents.
- UEAs are indicated to enhance the cardiac blood pool in order to better assess ventricular borders, ventricular function, masses, and other intracavitary or myocardial pathology.
- UEAs can be used off-label to assess perfusion.

2. Availability and Storage of Ultrasound Enhancing Agents

The immediate availability of UEAs for practitioners of echocardiography influences whether contrast echocardiography is used according to ASE and American College of Cardiology (ACC) guidelines. Post-program surveys from ASE Scientific Sessions and from major educational meetings have clearly and consistently established that one of the major obstacles to the adoption of guideline-directed use of contrast echocardiography is the time and effort required for access to UEAs. Accordingly, experts in echocardiography have advocated that UEAs be ordered through established pharmacy protocols, but stored in the Echocardiography Laboratory and in other areas where sonographers have immediate access to their use.² In particular, it is important that UEAs be readily availability for use in areas of hospitals where patients who are most likely to have technically challenging acoustic windows, such as the intensive care units. These policies are used by many leading academic and clinical healthcare institutions in the United States, and do not differ from those practiced by other imaging laboratories that routinely utilize contrast agents (cardiac catheterization laboratory, CT and MRI radiology services, etc.). Key concepts for the efficient use of UEAs are:

- UEAs should be stored in echocardiography laboratories and intensive care units where they are available for immediate use by sonographers.
- Echo labs in hospitals or clinics should coordinate with Pharmacy Services to ensure compliance with all policies and procedures (including information on batch/lot data) and reporting of adverse events.
- For agents that require refrigeration, a dedicated refrigerator within the echo lab for drug storage is required for optimizing efficiency through immediate access to UEAs.
- Consent policies are governed individually by each medical institution. Requirement for written consent is viewed as an unnecessary obstacle, is contrary to policies for other radiologic services, and can be avoided by including UEAs in the procedure order set.

3. Administration and Imaging:

All UEAs require the placement of an intravenous catheter (IV). Training for IV placement and administration of agents are governed by the policies of each institution. However, the American Society of Echocardiography (ASE) recommends the training of sonographers for the placement and safe maintenance of IVs for outpatient administration.^{1,2} This approach has been used successfully by many echocardiography laboratories in the United States that have implemented contrast echocardiography with high efficiency. The ASE also recommends policies that support physician-sonographer communication and laboratory policies for identification of patients who are most likely to benefit from contrast echocardiography.

Optison and Definity have been given as either small bolus injections or as diluted infusions in normal saline (10% and 3-5%, respectively),² while Lumason has been primarily used as small 0.5 milliliter bolus injections followed by slow 5-10 ml saline flushes to avoid LV cavity shadowing. Intravenous enhancing agents are approved to enhance LV opacification in adults, although Lumason has also recently been approved by the FDA for pediatric use. Although not specifically approved for stress testing, UEAs have been shown to improve the detection of regional wall motion abnormalities at rest and during stress testing, to provide a greater likelihood for a diagnostic study, and to improve reader confidence.^{3,4}

The signals obtained from UEAs are dependent on many machine-related factors. ASE recommends the use of real-time very-low mechanical index techniques which are available on nearly all commercially-available ultrasound imaging systems, but often require optional software. These contrast-specific multi-pulse sequence schemes permit the enhanced detection of microbubbles within the LV cavity and myocardium, and thus permit improved assessments of regional wall motion, cavity volumes, and myocardial perfusion.

Sonographers performing contrast echocardiography should be trained in the following practices:

- Contrast-specific methods (pulse inversion, power modulation, harmonic imaging) and other standard setting adjustments (gain, focus, mechanical index, frame rate, dynamic range) are required to optimize UEA signal-to-noise ratio.
- Sonographers must conform to policies for documenting on the echo report whether a UEA was administered and must report adverse reactions in the patient's electronic health record.
- Sonographers should be trained in the safety of UEAs, including adverse event recognition, and must be trained to contact healthcare workers that are licensed to treat rare reactions.

4. Billing

Specific codes for hospital outpatient (HOPPS) and Physicians office use of contrast are displayed in the Table. Note that enhancing agent use codes are available for resting echocardiograms, Doppler enhancement, stress echocardiograms, and for myocardial perfusion. However, the myocardial perfusion add-on code (0439T) is not currently reimbursed by Medicare (as of January 2021).



CY2020 Coding and Payment for Contrast Enhanced Echocardiography

The tables below and on the next page, address coding and payment for contrast enhanced ultrasound services. The payment rates detailed are Medicare national averages for CY2020. Actual payment rates are site-specific and will likely differ from the illustrative amounts noted below. Providers are solely responsible for exercising clinical judgement in selecting the appropriate coding for services provided. Inclusion in this document does not guarantee coverage or reimbursement. ASE strongly encourages all providers to communicate with individual payers for specific details.

		Final 2021 Professional Payment	Final 2021 Technical Payment	Final 2021 Global Payment					
Ultrasound Microbubble CPT codes									
76978	Ultrasound, microbubble contrast, initial lesion	\$79.90	\$243.88	\$323.78					
76979 add on code	Ultrasound, microbubble contrast, each additional lesion w/ separate injection	\$41.87	\$178.99	\$220.85					
Transthoracic Echocardiography (TTE) CPT									
93303	TTE, congenital complete	\$65.32	\$172.15	\$237.47					
93304	TTE, congenital limited	\$36.98	\$129.79	\$166.77					
93306	TTE, complete	\$70.83	\$137.12	\$207.94					
93307	TTE limited	\$45.36	\$101.53	\$146.89					
Transesophageal Echocardiography (TEE) CPT									
93312	TEE probe placement, image acquisition, interpretation and report	\$109.21	\$143.05	\$252.25					
93315	TEE Congenital, probe placement, image acquisition, interpretation and report	\$128.74	\$-	\$-					
Stress Echocardiography CPT									
93350	Stress TTE with interpretation and report	\$70.83	\$125.95	\$196.78					
93351	Stress TTE complete	\$84.43	\$158.74	\$243.18					
93352	Admin ECG contrast agent	\$-	\$-	\$34.19					
0439T	Contrast perfusion	Carrier Priced	Carrier Priced	Carrier Priced					
Contrast Agents*									
Q9950 - Lumason	Injection sulfur hexafluoride lipid microspheres, mil (1 mil dosage)	N/A	N/A	\$18.23					
Q9956 - Optison	Injection, perflutren lipid microspheres, mil (1 mil dosage)	N/A	N/A	\$31.37					
Q9957 - Definity	Injection, perflutren lipid microspheres, mil (1 mil dosage)	N/A	N/A	\$47.06					

Physician Office / IDTF

*Fee Schedule for January – March 2021 noted above; Average Sales Price (ASP) updated quarterly.

Source - https://www.cms.gov/medicare/medicare-part-b-drug-average-sales-price/2021-asp-drug-pricing-files

Source - CMS CY2021 Final Medicare Physician Fee Schedule - https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Fee-for-Service-Payment/PhysicianFeeSched/PFS-Relative-Value-Files



Hospital Outpatient Prospective Payment System (HOPPS)

Note: Hospital Outpatient Departments bill Medicare C-codes for Contrast Echocardiography. Commercial payers may accept C-codes; if not, refer to CPT 933XX codes.			Final 2021 APC	Final 2021 APC Descriptor				
Ultrasound Microbubble APCs								
76978	Ultrasound, microbubble contrast, initial lesion	\$182.22	5571	Level Imaging with Contrast				
76979 add on code	Ultrasound, microbubble contrast, each additional lesion w/ separate injection	\$-	N/A	Packaged				
Contrast Echocardiography APCs								
C8921	TTE with contrast, or without contrast followed by with contrast, for congenital cardiac anomalies; complete	\$715.18	5573	Level 3 Imaging with Contrast				
C8922	TTE with contrast, or without contrast followed by with contrast, for congenital cardiac anomalies; follow-up or limited study		5573	Level 3 Imaging with Contrast				
C8923	2D TTE with contrast, or without contrast followed by with contrast, real-time with image documentation (2d), includes m-mode recording, when performed, complete, without spectral or color doppler echocardiography	\$715.18	5573	Level 3 Imaging with Contrast				
C8924	2D TTE with contrast, or without contrast followed by with contrast, real-time with image documentation (2d), includes m-mode recording, when performed, follow-up or limited study	\$368.12	5572	Level 2 Imaging with Contrast				
C8925	2D TEE with contrast, or without contrast followed by with contrast, real time with image documentation (2d) (with or without m-mode recording); including probe placement, image acquisition, interpretation and report	\$715.18	5573	Level 3 Imaging with Contrast				
C8926	TEE with contrast, or without contrast followed by with contrast, for congenital cardiac anomalies; including probe placement, image acquisition, interpretation and report	\$715.18	5573	Level 3 Imaging with Contrast				
C8927	TEE with contrast, or without contrast followed by with contrast, for monitoring purposes, including probe placement, real time 2-dimensional image acquisition and interpretation leading to ongoing (continuous) assessment of (dynamically changing) cardiac pumping function and to therapeutic measures on an immediate time basis	\$715.18	5573	Level 3 Imaging with Contrast				
C8928	TTE with contrast, or without contrast followed by with contrast, real-time with image documentation (2d), includes m-mode recording, when performed, during rest and cardiovascular stress test using treadmill, bicycle exercise and/or pharmacologically induced stress, with interpretation and report	\$715.18	5573	Level 3 Imaging with Contrast				
C8929	TTE with contrast, or without contrast followed by with contrast, real-time with image documentation (2d), includes m-mode recording, when performed, complete, with spectral doppler echocardiography, and with color flow doppler echocardiography	\$715.18	5573	Level 3 Imaging with Contrast				
C8930	TTE with contrast, or without contrast followed by with contrast, real-time with image documentation (2d), includes m-mode recording, when performed, during rest and cardiovascular stress test using treadmill, bicycle exercise and/or pharmacologically induced stress, with interpretation and report; including performance of continuous electrocardiographic monitoring, with physician supervision	\$715.18	5573	Level 3 Imaging with Contrast				
Contrast Agents								
Q9950* - Lumason	Injection sulfur hexafluoride lipid microspheres (\$101.75 per 5 mil vial)	\$-	N/A	Packaged				
Q9956 - Optison	Injection, octafluoropropane microspheres, per ml	\$-	N/A	Packaged				
Q9957 - Definity	Injection, perflutren lipid microspheres, per ml	\$-	N/A	Packaged				

* Temporary pass-through payment, bill in addition to APC for contrast procedure

Source: https://www.cms.gov/license/ama?file=/files/zip/addendum-b-january-2021.zipRegulations-and-Notices-Items/CMDLPage=1&DLEntries=10&DLSort=2&DLSortDir=descending

5. References

- Porter TR, Mulvagh SL, Abdelmoneim SS, Becher H, Belcik JT, Bierig M, et al. Clinical Applications of Ultrasonic Enhancing Agents in Echocardiography: 2018 American Society of Echocardiography Guidelines Update. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2018;31:241-274
- Porter TR, Abdelmoneim S, Belcik JT, McCulloch ML, Mulvagh SL, Olson JJ, et al. Guidelines for the Cardiac Sonographer in the Performance of Contrast Echocardiography: A Focused Update from the American Society of Echocardiography. J Am Society of Echocardiogr 2014; 27:797-810
- Dolan MS, Gala SS, Dodla S, Abdelmoneim SS, Xie F, Cloutier D, et al. Safety and efficacy of commercially available ultrasound contrast agents for rest and stress echocardiography: a multicenter experience. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;53:32-38.
- Moir S, Haluska BA, Jenkins, C, Fathi R, Marwick TH. Incremental benefit of myocardial contrast to combined dipyridamole-exercise stress echocardiography for the assessment of coronary artery disease. Circulation 2004; 110:1108-13.

7

ASE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY Sound Saves Lives

1. Definitions for Informed Consent

Informed consent is the legal embodiment of the concept that each individual has the right to make decisions affecting their health. Generally, the law protects the patient's right to informed consent by requiring medical personnel to disclose all pertinent information about risks and benefits of a procedure to the patient.¹

There are three main types of medical informed consent;² these are stratified according to the respective potential risks of the procedure to be performed:

- 1) **Implied consent:** Implied consent refers to when a patient passively cooperates in a process or procedure without formal consent. This process generally is associated with procedures that are deemed less than minimal risk, such as transthoracic echocardiography. The principles of good communication apply in these circumstances and health professionals need to provide the patient with enough information to understand the procedure and why it is being done. Implied consent does not need to be documented in the clinical record.
- 2) Verbal consent: A verbal consent occurs when a patient states their consent to a procedure verbally but does not sign any written form. This process is considered to be appropriate for routine treatments or procedures that involve minimal risk or greater but with low likelihood of significant risk. Documentation of verbal consent must appear in the patient's health record.
- 3) Written consent: A written consent is necessary in situations where significant risk may be entailed, such as use of anesthesia or conscious sedation, invasive or surgical procedures, and administration of medications with high likelihood for potential adverse events.

- There are 3 types of consents, the selection of which is determined according to level of risk of the process or procedure to be performed.
- Documentation of verbal and written consent must be made in the patient's medical record.

2. Informed Consent for Ultrasound Enhancing Agents (UEAs)

Transthoracic Echocardiography (TTE) is a minimal risk procedure that is almost universally performed with implied consent. As outlined in the Safety section of this document, UEAs are characterized by an excellent safety profile, and are generally considered the safest of all contrast agents given during non-invasive and invasive imaging studies. Because there is a small risk of side effects, including rate incidence for allergic or pseudo-allergic responses (approximately 1 in 10,000), the ASE recommends the process of verbal consent before administration of UEAs. Most institutions have not required written consent for the clinical administration of UEA. However, if an institution chooses to utilize written consent, there are required items that must be included within such a document.

- Due to the documented safety of administration of the available UEAs, verbal consent is considered adequate, and written consent is not required.
- The risk of allergic or pseudo-allergic (CARPA) reaction is minimal (1:10,000).
- If giving a UEA that contains albumin, history of allergy to blood products should be reviewed and if present, or patient expresses personal objections to receiving a blood-based product, an alternate UEA should be utilized.



3. Obtaining Informed Consent for UEA administration

Informed consent can be obtained by any member of ultrasound imaging team (sonographer, nurse, or physician) as long as the personnel are trained in the safety, knowledge, and indications for use of UEAs; and are working within their recognized scope of practice. Key skills include the ability to communicate with the patient in a manner that is appropriate to the patient's ability to understand. Items that should be explained to the patient include the following:

- Why UEAs are being administered or are indicated in each individual case
- · Need for insertion of an IV if not already present
- Rare possibility of an allergic reaction (1:10,000, less than other imaging modalities)

The decision to administer UEA is primarily empowered to the sonographer through standing orders approved by the supervising physician. Any qualified member of the ultrasound imaging team (as defined above) may obtain the verbal consent; this is usually done by the sonographer or nurse; however, the supervising physician should be available to assist as needed or to confirm eligibility or appropriateness of UEA administration, if there is uncertainty on part of sonographer. Careful consideration in clarity of wording choice should be made while obtaining verbal consent for UEA use. Terms such as "ultrasound enhancing agent" to "enhance your ultrasound images" or "improve quality of your images" are preferred over "contrast" as these words are easily understood and distinct from the term "contrast agent" which traditionally implies radiographic or gadolinium agents that are used in CT, angiography, and MRI respectively, and that are associated with higher rates of adverse reactions, particularly involving renal dysfunction.

4. Miscellaneous Issues Related to Informed Consent

The main indication for UEA use is for image enhancement when two or more contiguous segments cannot be visualized or when there is "poor quality endocardial visualization." However, there are other situations that, without UEAs, a study can or will be rendered uninterpretable resulting in inadequate medical care. This is the statement of purpose and benefits for the procedure, and forms the basis for patient selection as described in further detail in the 2018 guideline document. When performing verbal consent, there should be description of any foreseeable risks such as those stated in the 2014 ASE Guidelines on UEA use.⁴ Prior to administration of UEAs, all known allergies in the medical record should be reviewed for contraindications as noted in the 2014 Guidelines. ASE also endorses policies where allergic or other reactions to UEAs are noted not only in the medical record, but also on the echocardiogram report. Any specific diagnostic, treatment, or prognostic questions are directed to the appropriate physician or healthcare provider.

5. References

- Paterick TJ, Carson GV, Allen MC, Paterick TE. Medical informed consent: general considerations for physicians. Mayo ClinProc. 2008;83(3):313-319.
- Kakar H, Gambhir RS, Singh S, Kaur A, Nanda T. Informed consent: corner stone in ethical medical and dental practice. J Family Med Prim Care. 2014;3(1):68-71.
- Porter TR, Mulvagh SL, Abdelmoneim SS, Becher H, Belcik JT, Bierig M, et al. Clinical Applications of Ultrasonic Enhancing Agents in Echocardiography: 2018 American Society of Echocardiography Guidelines Update. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2018; 31:241-274.
- Porter TR, Abdelmoneim S, Belcik JT, McCulloch ML, Mulvagh SL, Olson JJ, et al.Guidelines for the Cardiac Sonographer in the Performance of Contrast Echocardiography: A Focused Update from the American Society of Echocardiography. J Am Society of Echocardiogr 2014; 27:797-810



1. Safety Considerations

Contrast echocardiography is performed through the acoustic detection of stable FDA-approved microbubble ultrasound enhancing agents (UEAs). The main considerations regarding safety of any microbubble agent are: (1) the potential for allergic or pseudo-allergic reactions to microbubble component; (2) the potential of microbubbles to "lodge" in the microcirculation, and (3) the possibility of producing tissue bioeffects during ultrasound cavitation (ringing and collapse) of the microbubbles. With these issues in mind, the following facts are known regarding the UEAs and their application within FDA-approved dosing:

- UEAs are manufactured using shell constituents known to be biocompatible (lipids, albumin) in order to minimize any allergic reactions.^{1,2}
- Microbubbles are designed to be small enough to pass freely through the smallest vessels of the body. These microbubbles behave identically to red blood cells in the circulation without systemic microvascular lodging or aggregation.³
- Any reactions that occur in humans are likely to be classified as "pseudo-allergy," which are non-IgE-mediated reactions that are complementmediated (CARPA or complement activationrelated pseudo-allergy) based on the body's ability to maintain surveillance for the membranes of foreign pathogens.^{4,5}
- CARPA reactions are more likely to occur with lipid microbubbles, are minimized by the presence of polyethylene glycol in their shell, and occur extremely rarely (1 in 10,000).^{5,6}
- Vascular damage or arrhythmias have not been shown to occur with UEAs together with ultrasound given in the FDA-approved ranges for frequency and power.
- Albumin-based agents should be avoided in those with blood product or albumin allergy.

2. Safety Studies

A partial list of the major safety studies is provided in the Table on the following page. A more comprehensive list of safety studies can be found in an extensive review by Muskula, et al.² A summary of key points of these and other studies are:

- UEAs are among the safest of any diagnostic imaging contrast agent that have been applied in humans, with serious reactions occurring only in 1 in 10,000 administrations.
- The use of UEAs in in-patients with clinical indications is not associated with increased mortality, but actually is associated with decreased mortality, suggesting clinical impact.
- There are no safety concerns with the use of UEAs in tenuous populations (critically ill patients or those with pulmonary hypertension) or during stress echocardiography.
- The presence of an intracardiac shunt is not a contra-indication to the use of UEAs and does not need to be excluded prior to their use.
- Safety of UEAs in the pediatric population has been demonstrated (although not all agents have FDA-approval for pediatric patients).⁷
- Flank, back, or muscle pain is infrequently associated with the use of UEAs, and is likely to also be complement-mediated.
- Despite the demonstrated safety of UEAs, the FDA has not removed the "Black Box" warning issued in 2007 in response to several adverse events from millions of post-marketing surveillance administrations, most of which could not be directly linked to UEAs.

Author, year	Design	UEA	n	Rest vs Stress	Conclusions
Gabriel, 2008 ⁸	Retrospective	Definity, Optison	9,798	Stress	Controlled trial without increased SAE or mortality at 24 hours
Herzog, 2008 ⁹	Retrospective	Definity, Optison	16,025	Both	SAE rate of 0.03% without any mortality
Aggeli, 200810	Prospective	Sonovue (Lumason)	5,250	Stress	No major SAE reported at 24 hours
Kusnetzky, 2008 ¹¹	Retrospective	Definity	12,475	Rest	Controlled trial without associated mortality
Main, 2008 ¹²	Retrospective	Definity	4,242,712	Rest	Registry, controlled trial in inpatients showing no associated mortality
Wei, 2008 ⁶	Retrospective	Definity	78,383	Both	Severe reactions in 0.01% (one in 10,000)
Abdelmoneim, 2009 ¹³	Retrospective	Definity, Optison	26,774	Stress	Controlled trial without increased short- or long-term mortality
Goldberg, 2012 ¹⁴	Retrospective	Definity	96,705	Both	Controlled trial showing no increased mortality
Main, 2014 ¹⁵	Retrospective	Definity	32,434	Rest	Propensity-matched trial showing lower mortality in those receiving UEAs
Wei, 2012 ¹⁶	Prospective	Definity	32	Rest	No significant changes in pulmonary hemodynamics including in those with pulmonary hypertension
Main, 2013 ¹⁷	Prospective	Optison	30	Rest	No significant changes in pulmonary hemodynamics including in those with PH
Kalra, 2013 ¹⁸	Retrospective	Definity, Optison	39,020	Rest	No AEs or neurologic symptoms in those with intracardiac shunt

Partial List of Safety Studies on the Use of UEAs

AE, adverse event; PH, pulmonary hypertension; SAE, serious adverse event

SAFETY OF ULTRASOUND ENHANCING AGENTS AND CONTRAST ULTRASOUND



3. Safety recommendations for Laboratories

- UEAs are extremely safe with serious AEs in only 1 in 10,000; in propensity-matched studies the use of UEAs is associated with decreased mortality.
- Based on extremely low risk:benefit ratio and clinical impact of UEAs, the American Society of Echocardiography strongly recommends the incorporation of UEAs in standard practice.
- Intracardiac shunts do not need to be excluded before the use of UEAs.
- Those who administer UEAs should be trained in the recognition of adverse events and the basic concepts in treatment.
- Laboratories using UEAs must have safety policies in place and have ready access to equipment and medications (epinephrine, diphenhydramine, steroids, etc.) needed to treat rare CARPA reactions or other types of reactions.
- UEAs have been demonstrated to be safe in pediatric populations; some agents are approved for use in children.
- Because safety has not been rigorously tested in pregnancy or lactation, consideration of the benefit of using of UEAs in this population must be made based on the clinical situation.
- Flank, back, or muscle pain is associated with UEAs; these symptoms are usually mild, do not require treatment, and resolve with cessation of UEA administration.
- Contraindications for the use of UEAs are:
 (a) hypersensitivity to a perflutren agent, and
 (b) allergy to albumin or blood product for albumin-based agents (Optison).

4. References

- 1. Kaufmann BA, Wei K and Lindner JR. Contrast echocardiography. Curr Probl Cardiol. 2007;32:51-96.
- 2. Muskula PR and Main ML. Safety With Echocardiographic Contrast Agents. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2017;10.
- 3. Lindner JR, Song J, Jayaweera AR, et al. Microvascular rheology of Definity microbubbles after intra-arterial and intravenous administration. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2002;15:396-403.
- Szebeni J, Muggia F, Gabizon A, et al. Activation of complement by therapeutic liposomes and other lipid excipient-based therapeutic products: prediction and prevention. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2011;63:1020-30.
- Fisher NG, Christiansen JP, Klibanov A, et al. Influence of microbubble surface charge on capillary transit and myocardial contrast enhancement. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2002;40:811-9.
- Wei K, Mulvagh SL, Carson L, et al. The safety of deFinity and Optison for ultrasound image enhancement: a retrospective analysis of 78,383 administered contrast doses. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2008;21:1202-6.
- Kutty S, Xiao Y, Olson J, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Cardiac Ultrasound Contrast in Children and Adolescents for Resting and Stress Echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2016;29:655-62.
- 8. Gabriel RS, Smyth YM, Menon V, et al. Safety of ultrasound contrast agents in stress echocardiography. Am J Cardiol. 2008;102:1269-72.
- 9. Herzog CA. Incidence of adverse events associated with use of perflutren contrast agents for echocardiography. JAMA. 2008;299:2023-5.
- Aggeli C, Giannopoulos G, Roussakis G, et al. Safety of myocardial flashcontrast echocardiography in combination with dobutamine stress testing for the detection of ischaemia in 5250 studies. Heart. 2008;94:1571-7.
- Kusnetzky LL, Khalid A, Khumri TM, et al. Acute mortality in hospitalized patients undergoing echocardiography with and without an ultrasound contrast agent: results in 18,671 consecutive studies. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2008;51:1704-6.
- Main ML, Ryan AC, Davis TE, et al. Acute mortality in hospitalized patients undergoing echocardiography with and without an ultrasound contrast agent (multicenter registry results in 4,300,966 consecutive patients). Am J Cardiol. 2008;102:1742-6.
- Abdelmoneim SS, Bernier M, Scott CG, et al. Safety of contrast agent use during stress echocardiography: a 4-year experience from a single-center cohort study of 26,774 patients. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2009;2:1048-56.
- 14. Goldberg YH, Ginelli P, Siegel R, et al. Administration of perflutren contrast agents during transthoracic echocardiography is not associated with a significant increase in acute mortality risk. Cardiology. 2012;122:119-25.
- Main ML, Hibberd MG, Ryan A, et al. Acute mortality in critically ill patients undergoing echocardiography with or without an ultrasound contrast agent. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2014;7:40-8.
- Wei K, Main ML, Lang RM, et al. The effect of Definity on systemic and pulmonary hemodynamics in patients. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2012;25:584-8.
- Main ML, Grayburn PA, Lang RM, et al. Effect of Optison on pulmonary artery systolic pressure and pulmonary vascular resistance. Am J Cardiol. 2013;112:1657-61.
- Kalra A, Shroff GR, Erlien D, et al. Perflutren-based echocardiographic contrast in patients with right-to-left intracardiac shunts. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2014;7:206-7.