Valvular heart disease: a decade of progress 15 minutes

Linda D. Gillam, MD, MPH, MACC, FAHA Chair, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine Morristown Medical Center/Atlantic Health System Professor of Medicine Sidney Kimmel Medical College at Thomas Jefferson University







Disclosures

Advisory Board Member: Edwards Lifesciences, Philips, Bracco Core Lab Contracts: Edwards Lifesciences, Medtronic, Abbott

Will mention some investigational devices



Aortic Stenosis









Pathophysiology

Multipronged approaches at basic science level





CLINICAL RESEARCH Dyslipidaemias

Plasma lipids and risk of aortic valve stenosis: a Mendelian randomization study

Milad Nazarzadeh (1,2,3), Ana-Catarina Pinho-Gomes (1,2,7), Zeinab Bidel^{1,2,3}, Abbas Dehghan (1,1,4), Dexter Canoy (1,2,5,6), Abdelaali Hassaine (1,2,7), Jose Roberto Ayala Solares (1,2,7), Gholamreza Salimi-Khorshidi (1,2,7), George Davey Smith (1,2,7), Catherine M. Otto (1,1,8), and Kazem Rahimi^{1,2,5}*

¹The George Institute for Global Health, University of Oxford, 1st Floor, Hayes House, 75 George Street, Oxford OX1 2BQ, UK; ²Deep Medicine, Oxford Martin School, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ³The Collaboration Center of Meta-Analysis Research, School of Health, Torbat Heydariyeh University of Medical Sciences, Torbat Heydariyeh, Iran; ⁴Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, UK; ⁵NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, Oxford University Hospitals NH5 Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK; ⁶Faculty of Medicine, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia; ⁷MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK; and ⁶University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

Received 10 July 2019; revised 9 October 2019; editorial decision 13 January 2020; accepted 29 January 2020; online publish-ahead-of-print 20 February 2020



Analysis of genetic variants ass'd with lipid levels~ 190K pts in UK Biobank



Clonal haematopoiesis in patients with degenerative aortic valve stenosis undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation

Silvia Mas-Peiro () ^{1,2†}, Jedrzej Hoffmann^{1,2†}, Stephan Fichtlscherer^{1,2}, Lena Dorsheimer³, Michael A. Rieger^{3,4,5}, Stefanie Dimmeler^{2,6}, Mariuca Vasa-Nicotera^{1,2}, and Andreas M. Zeiher^{1,2}*

¹Department of Medicine, Cardiology, Goethe University Hospital, Theodor-Stern-Kai 7, 60590 Frankfurt, Germany; ²German Centre for Cardiovascular Research, Berlin, Partner Ste Frankfurt Rhine-Main, Germany; ³Department of Medicine, Haematology/Oncology, Goethe University Hospital, Frankfurt, Germany; ⁴Frankfurt Cancer Institute, Frankfurt, Germany; ⁵German Cancer Consortium and German Cancer Research Centre, Heidelberg, Germany; and ⁴Institute for Cardiovascular Regeneration, Goethe University, Frankfurt, Germany

Received 2 July 2019; revised 16 July 2019; editorial decision 6 August 2019; accepted 8 August 2019; online publish-ahead-of-print 3 September 2019

Acquired somatic mutations in the most commonly mutated clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP) driver genes occur frequently in patients with severe AS, and confer a profound increase in mortality following successful TAVI.



Take home figure Overall survival of patients with DNMT3A- or TET2-CHIP-driver mutations

iur Heart J, Volume 41, Issue 8, 21 February 2020, Pages 933–939, <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehz591</u>





Zinc ameliorates human aortic valve calcification through GPR39 mediated ERK1/2 signalling pathway

Ziying Chen^{1†}, Flora Gordillo-Martinez^{1†}, Lei Jiang^{2†}, Pengcheng He³, Wanzi Hong³, Xuebiao Wei³, Katherine A. Staines ¹/₉⁴, Vicky E. Macrae⁵, Chunxiang Zhang⁶, Danging Yu³*, Xiaodong Fu¹*, and Dongxing Zhu ¹/₉¹*

¹Guangzhou Institute of Cardiovascular Disease, Guangdong Key Laboratory of Vascular Diseases, State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Disease, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510260, China; ²Guangdong Geriatric Institute, Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital, Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences, Guangzhou 510080, China; ³Department of Cardiology, Guangdong Cardiovascular Institute, Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Coronary Heart Disease Prevention, Guangdong Provincial People's Hospital, Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences, Guangzhou 510100, China; ⁴School of Applied Sciences, Edinburgh Napier University, Edinburgh EH11 4BN, UK; ⁵The Roslin Institute, RDSVS, Easter Bush Campus, University of Edinburgh, Midlothian EH25 9RG, UK; and ⁶Department of Biomedical Engineering, School of Medicine, The University of Alabama at Birmingham, AL 35233, USA

Received 5 December 2019; revised 11 February 2020; editorial decision 31 March 2020; accepted 1 April 2020; online publish-ahead-of-print 7 April 2020



human aortic valve interstitial cells (hVICs)

AS Severity

	Mild	Moderate	Severe
Mean Gradient mmHg	<20	20-39	≥40
AVA cm ²	1.5 – 2.0	1-1.5	≤1.0
Peak gradient mmHg	<36	36-63	≥64



Normal aortic valve area = 3-4 cm²

Mismatch between Gradient and AVA (AVAi) estimates of AS Severity are common

- Low "Flow" Low Gradient
 - Reduced EF
 - Preserved EF (Paradoxical)
- Low Gradient Normal "Flow"

 Flow captured by stroke volume – Cut-off 35 cc/m²



Learn a new language or 2 or 3.....





CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINE: FULL TEXT

2020 ACC/AHA Guideline for the Management of Patients With Valvular Heart Disease

D: Symptomatic severe AS					
D1	Symptomatic severe high- gradient AS	Severe leaflet calcification/fibrosis or congenital stenosis with severely reduced leaflet opening	 Aortic V_{max} ≥4 m/s or mean ΔP ≥40 mm Hg AVA typically ≤1.0 cm² (or AVAi ≤0.6 cm²/m²) but may be larger with mixed AS/AR 	 LV diastolic dysfunction LV hypertrophy Pulmonary hypertension may be present 	 Exertional dyspnea, decreased exercise tolerance, or HF Exertional angin Exertional syncope or presyncope
D2	Symptomatic severe low-flow, low-gradient AS with reduce LVEF	Severe leaflet calcification/fibrosis with ed severely reduced leaflet motion	 AVA ≤1.0 cm² with resting aortic V_{max} <4 m/s or mean ΔP <40 mm Hg Dobutamine stress echocardiography shows AVA <1.0 cm² with V_{max} ≥4 m/s at any flow rate 	 LV diastolic dysfunction LV hypertrophy LVEF <50% 	 HF Angina Syncope or presyncope
D3	Symptomatic severe low-gradier AS with normal LVEF or paradoxical low-flow severe AS	ntSevere leaflet calcification/fibrosis with severely reduced leaflet motion	 AVA ≤1.0 cm² (indexed AVA ≤0.6 cm²/m²) with an aortic V_{max} <4 m/s or mean ΔP <40 mm Hg AND Stroke volume index <35 mL/m² Measured when patient is normotensive (systolic blood pressure <140 mm Hg) 	 Increased LV relative wall thickness Small LV chamber with low stroke volume Restrictive diastolic filling LVEF ≥50% 	 HF Angina Syncope or presyncope

1	B-NR	 In symptomatic patients with low-flow, low-gradient severe AS with reduced LVEF (Stage D2), AVR is recommended (17-24).
1	B-NR	 In symptomatic patients with low-flow, low-gradient severe AS with normal LVEF (Stage D3), AVR is recommended if AS is the most likely cause of symptoms (25-27).

Staging classification of aortic stenosis based on the extent of cardiac damage

Philippe Généreux^{1,2,3}, Philippe Pibarot⁴, Björn Redfors^{1,5}, Michael J. Mack⁶, Raj R. Makkar⁷, Wael A. Jaber⁸, Lars G. Svensson⁸, Samir Kapadia⁸, E. Murat Tuzcu⁸, Vinod H. Thourani⁹, Vasilis Babaliaros⁹, Howard C. Herrmann¹⁰, Wilson Y. Szeto¹⁰, David J. Cohen¹¹, Brian R. Lindman¹², Thomas McAndrew¹, Maria C. Alu¹³, Pamela S. Douglas¹⁴, Rebecca T. Hahn^{1,13}, Susheel K. Kodali^{1,13}, Craig R. Smith¹³, D. Craig Miller¹⁵, John G. Webb¹⁶, and Martin B. Leon^{1,13}*



	Stage 0	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4
Stages/Criteria	No Cardiac Damage	LV Damage	LA or Mitral Damage	Pulmonary Vasculature or Tricuspid Damage	RV Damage
Echocardiogram		Increased LV Mass Index >115 g/m² (Male) >95 g/m² (Female)	Indexed left atrial volume >34mL/m ²	Systolic Pulmonary hypertension ≥60 mmhg	Moderate-Severe right ventricular dysfunction
		E/e' >14	Moderate-Severe mitral regurgitation	Moderate-Severe tricuspid regurgitation	
		LV Ejection Fraction <50%	Atrial Fibrillation		

Figure | Cardiac stratification of aortic stenosis based on the extent of cardiac damage. LA, left atrial; LV, left ventricular; RV, right ventricular.





The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery Volume 133, Issue 5, May 2007, Pages 1226-1233 Surgery for acquired cardiovascular disease A classification system for the bicuspid aortic valve from 304 surgical specimens

Hans-H. Sievers MD ዳ 🖾, Claudia Schmidtke MD, MBA

Show more 🥆

+ Add to Mendeley 😪 Share 🗦 Cite



Commor	nly used terms	quadricuspid	tricuspid	bicuspid		
Sc morț apț	heme of phological pearance					
func- tional charac- teristics	No of cusps	4	3	2	2	2
morpho- logical charac- teristics	No of raphes	0	0	0	1	2
				purely bicuspid*	potentially tricuspid*	
	No of cusps 4		3	2	3 anlagen, (2 under- and 1 fully developed)	3 anlagen, (2 under- and 1 fully developed)
	Size of cusps	non-equal	equal	equal	non-equal	non-equal
	No of commissures	4	3	2	1 under- and 2 fully developed	2 under- and 1 fully developed

Treatment

The decade of TAVR







TAVR Has Now Passed Isolated and All SAVRs in the US Market





Carroll J, et all. JACC 2020

TAVR: Median Age





When to treat

Asymptomatic severe AS?, Symptomatic moderate AS?



The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ESTABLISHED IN 1812

JANUARY 9, 2020

VOL. 382 NO. 2

Early Surgery or Conservative Care for Asymptomatic Aortic Stenosis

Duk-Hyun Kang, M.D., Ph.D., Sung-Ji Park, M.D., Ph.D., Seung-Ah Lee, M.D., Sahmin Lee, M.D., Ph.D., Dae-Hee Kim, M.D., Ph.D., Hyung-Kwan Kim, M.D., Ph.D., Sung-Cheol Yun, Ph.D., Geu-Ru Hong, M.D., Ph.D., Jong-Min Song, M.D., Ph.D., Cheol-Hyun Chung, M.D., Ph.D., Jae-Kwan Song, M.D., Ph.D., Jae-Won Lee, M.D., Ph.D., and Seung-Woo Park, M.D., Ph.D.

> RECOVERY trial: randomized prospective n= 145 with very severe AS SAVR vs medical management Severe asymptomatic AS (no stress test) AVA ≤0.75 cm2 peak velocity ≥4.5mps, mean ≥50mmHg Intention to treat





Severe AS No Symptoms: Ongoing Randomized Trials

- EARLY-TAVR trial TAVR or medical management (stress testing)
- EVOLVED trial (fibrosis, TAVR or SAVR)
- AVATAR trial (Serbia SAVR)
- ESTIMATE trial (SAVR)





Principal Investigator: Philippe Généreux, MD, Chair: Martin B. Leon, MD

Enrollment Completed

Poor Long-Term Survival in Patients With Moderate Aortic Stenosis

Geoff Strange, PhD,^a Simon Stewart, PhD,^b David Celermajer, MD, PhD,^c David Prior, MBBS, PhD,^d Gregory M. Scalia, MBBS (Hons), MMEDSc,^e Thomas Marwick, MBBS, PhD,^f Marcus Ilton, MD,^g Majo Joseph, MBBS,^h Jim Codde, PhD,ⁱ David Playford, MBBS, PhD,^a on behalf of the National Echocardiography Database of Australia contributing sites



This graph compares the adjusted survival curves of individuals with increasing categories of aortic stenosis (AS). The **inset** shows those survival curves derived from the same model but with the aortic valve (AV) area added as a continuous variable (data were available in 82,175 individuals) – adjusted hazard ratio (HR): 0.76; 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.74 to 0.77 per unit decrease; p < 0.001. An additional model with stroke volume index data added (available in 52,151 individuals – adjusted hazard ratio: 0.97; 95% confidence interval: 0.97 to 0.98 per unit decrease; p < 0.001) did not substantially change initial observations. CV = cardiovascular; Q = quintile.



FIGURE 3 Adjusted Long-Term Survival According to Severity of AS Derived From Mean AV Gradient and Peak AV Velocity Levels

Why Targeting Moderate AS Patient for Treatment?

At What Severity of Aortic Stenosis do Adverse Cardiac Events Occur?

Adverse Events -Mortality -Valve-related symptoms -Cardiac damage



Aortic Valve Area (AVA cm²)



Study Design

Study Design



Moderate aortic stenosis **with symptoms or cardiac damage/dysfunction** Anatomy appropriate for transfemoral TAVR



Follow-up Annually Through 10 years

Global PI: Philippe Genereux, MD National PI: Raj Makkar MD, Jeroen Bax, MD Chairman: Martin Leon, MD

Enrollment Started Nov 2021

Aortic Stenosis Spectrum: Future TAVR Indications

Mild	Moderate AS	Moderate AS	Severe AS	Severe AS
AS	Symptoms -	Symptoms +	Symptoms -	Symptoms +
				TAVR vs. SAVR
				Trials





Mitral Regurgitation













PRACTICE GUIDELINE

2014 AHA/ACC Guideline for the Management of Patients With Valvular Heart Disease



A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines

Developed in Collaboration With the American Association for Thoracic Surgery, American Society of Echocardiography, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons

Writing Committee Members* Rick A. Nishimura, MD, MACC, FAHA, *Co-Chair*[†] Catherine M. Otto, MD, FACC, FAHA, *Co-Chair*[†]

Robert O. Bonow, MD, MACC, FAHA[†] Blase A. Carabello, MD, FACC^{*†} John P. Erwin III, MD, FACC, FAHA[‡] Robert A. Guyton, MD, FACC, FAHA[‡] Patrick T. O'Gara, MD, FACC, FAHA[†] Carlos E. Ruiz, MD, PHD, FACC[†] Nikolaos J. Skubas, MD, FASE[¶] Paul Sorajja, MD, FACC, FAHA# Thoralf M. Sundt III, MD* **†† James D. Thomas, MD, FASE, FACC, FAHA‡‡

"Writing committee members are required to recuse themselves from voting on sections to which their specific relationships with industry and other entities may apply; see Appendix 1 for recusal information. †ACC/ AHA representative. ‡ACC/AHA Task Force on Performance Measures liaison. §ACC/AHA Task Force on Practice Guidelines liaison. §Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists representative. #Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions representative. "American Association for Thoracic Surgery representative. ††Society of Thoracic Surgeons representative. ‡‡American Society of Echocardiography representative.



Introduced primary and secondary (functional) MR

Primary MR is a disease of the valve

Secondary MR is a disease of the ventricle (or the atrium)



Primary and secondary mitral regurgitation are fundamentally different diseases

- Pathophysiology
- Prognosis
- Approaches to Management



Primary

- Degenerative (mitral prolapse/flail)
- Rheumatic
- Mitral annular calcification
- Endocarditis etc.



Spectrum of degenerative MR



Adams EHJ 2010


Fibroelastic Deficiency













Secondary (Functional) MR

- Left ventricular dysfunction and/or remodeling (ventriculogenic)
 - Ischemic
 - Non-ischemic
- Atrial functional MR (atriogenic) e.g. chronic atrial fibrillation







Annular dilatation

Ventriculogenic FMR







Mitral Regurgitation 200

The Carpentier pathophysiologic classification based on leaflet motion







Anatomic Nomenclature 100



Surgical View





Important because of implications for repair



Classification based on severity

- Echocardiography is the work horse
 - Expanding understanding of core measures
- Expanding role for cardiac MRI



Use an Integrated Approach 2017 ASE Guidelines

ASE GUIDELINES AND STANDARDS

Recommendations for Noninvasive Evaluation of Native Valvular Regurgitation A Report from the American Society of Echocardiography Developed in Collaboration with the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance

William A. Zoghbi, MD, FASE (Chair), David Adams, RCS, RDCS, FASE, Robert O. Bonow, MD, Maurice Enriquez-Sarano, MD, Elyse Foster, MD, FASE, Paul A. Grayburn, MD, FASE,
Rebecca T. Hahn, MD, FASE, Yuchi Han, MD, MMSc,* Judy Hung, MD, FASE, Roberto M. Lang, MD, FASE, Stephen H. Little, MD, FASE, Dipan J. Shah, MD, MMSc,* Stanton Shernan, MD, FASE, Paaladinesh Thavendiranathan, MD, MSc, FASE,* James D. Thomas, MD, FASE, and
Neil J. Weissman, MD, FASE, Houston and Dallas, Texas; Durham, North Carolina; Chicago, Illinois; Rochester, Minnesota; San Francisco, California; New York, New York; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Boston, Massachusetts; Toronto, Ontario, Canada; and Washington, DC







Same broad approaches for primary and secondary MR

JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY © 2014 BY THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER INC. VOL. 64, NO. 25, 2014 ISSN 0735-1097/\$36.00 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2014.10.016

REVIEW TOPIC OF THE WEEK

Defining "Severe" Secondary Mitral Regurgitation



Emphasizing an Integrated Approach

Paul A. Grayburn, MD,*† Blasé Carabello, MD,† Judy Hung, MD,§ Linda D. Gillam, MD,|| David Liang, MD,¶ Michael J. Mack, MD,# Patrick M. McCarthy, MD,** D. Craig Miller, MD,†† Alfredo Trento, MD,†† Robert J. Siegel, MD†‡

ABSTRACT

Secondary mitral regurgitation (MR) is associated with poor outcomes, but its correction does not reverse the underlying left ventricular (LV) pathology or improve the prognosis. The recently published American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology guidelines on valvular heart disease generated considerable controversy by revising the definition of severe secondary MR from an effective regurgitant orifice area (EROA) of 0.4 to 0.2 cm², and from a regurgitant volume (RVol) of 60 to 30 ml. This paper reviews hydrodynamic determinants of MR severity, showing that EROA and RVol values associated with severe MR depend on LV volume. This explains disparities in the evidence associating a lower EROA threshold with suboptimal survival. Redefining MR severity purely on EROA or RVol may cause significant clinical problems. As the guidelines emphasize, defining severe MR requires careful integration of all echocardiographic and clinical data, as measurement of EROA is imprecise and poorly reproducible. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;64:2792–801) © 2014 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation.



Discordance Between Echocardiography and MRI in the Assessment of Mitral Regurgitation Severity

A Prospective Multicenter Trial

Seth Uretsky, MD,* Linda Gillam, MD, MPH,* Roberto Lang, MD,† Farooq A. Chaudhry, MD,‡ Edgar Argulian, MD, MPH,§ Azhar Supariwala, MD,§ Srinivasa Gurram, MD,§ Kavya Jain, MD,§ Marjorie Subero, MD,§ James J. Jang, MD,|| Randy Cohen, MD,§ Steven D. Wolff, MD, PHD¶

Cross/Mari

(J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;65:1078-88)



MR Rvol: Comparison of Echo and MRI





MRI and Echo: Is there a reference standard?





MR Regurgitant Vol. and Post Surgical LV Remodeling





CMR vs. ASE Algorithm



N=152



Uretsky S et al, JACC Img In press



JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY © 2021 BY THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER VOL. 78, NO. 25, 2021

Characterization of Primary Mitral Regurgitation With Flail Leaflet and/or Wall-Impinging Flow

Seth Uretsky, MD,^a Donna Chelle V. Morales, MD,^a Lillian Aldaia, MD,^a Anuj Mediratta, MD,^a Konstantinos Koulogiannis, MD,^a Leo Marcoff, MD,^a Sakul Sakul, MD,^a Steven D. Wolff, MD, PhD,^b Linda D. Gillam, MD, MPH^a **CENTRAL ILLUSTRATION** Severity of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance Imaging-Mitral Regurgitation and a Flail Leaflet or Coandă

Flail Leaflet



Coandă





Apical 4-chamber echocardiogram shows flail posterior mitral leaflet and associated Coandă effect with a wall-hugging jet encircling the posterior wall of the left atrium. Neither flail nor Coandă were associated with severe MR by CMR in the majority of patients, and patients with these features cannot be assumed to have severe MR, particularly if intervention is contemplated. CMR = magnetic resonance imaging; MR = mitral regurgitation.



Coanda and Flail is not always associated with severe MR by CMR



Uretsky ... Gillam JACC 2021









Only Mitra-Clip FDA approved



MValve

Mvalve Tech

SATURN

InnovHeart

CardiAQ Edwards



Sapien M3 Edwards

Mi-thos

Shanghai NewMed

Fortis Edwards

EPYGON AccuFit **Affluent Medical** SINOMED

Corona

Valcare



Permavalve

Micro Interventional



Vessel Plus 202 10 20517/2574-1209 2020

Patient selection for trans-catheter mitral valve repair vs. replacement: ongoing indications and glimpse to the future

Views: 2282 | Downloads: 365 | Cited: Scossref 1

Andrea Scotti¹, Michele Galasso², Alberto Margonato², Cosmo Godino² ¹Department of Cardiac Thoracic Vascular Sciences and Public Health. University of Padua Medical School. Padua 35128. Italy ²Cardio-Thoracic-Vascular Department, San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan 20132, Italy.



Neovasc





Intrepid

Medtronic











Tendyne

Abbott

Caisson

Livanoval



AltaValve 4C Medical



NaviGate NCSI

Others: - Braile - Direct Flow - MitrAssist - MitralHeal - ValveXchange - Transcat. Tech. - Lutter - Mehr - Mitralix - MitralTech - Mitracath - Mitralix - Nakostech - St George ATLAS - Venus - Verso - Transmural Systems



Stephan Windecker et al. J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2020; 13:2358-2360.



2020 American College of Cardiology Foundation

2 Pivotal trials

Mitra-FR COAPT



Inclusion Criteria

- Symptomatic despite Optimal Treatment (NYHA ≥II).
- At least one hospitalization for HF within 12 months preceding randomization
- Severe Secondary MR different cut-offs
- Reduced EF
- Not eligible for surgery "Heart Team"



Despite similar trial design

Completely different results















Why different results?

- Procedural outcomes
- Medical management
- Patient selection



In Mitra-FR, bigger ventricles with less MR



Thank you to Paul Grayburn and Milton Packer

EDITORIALS AND VIEWPOINTS

Proportionate and Disproportionate Functional Mitral Regurgitation

A New Conceptual Framework That Reconciles the Results of the MITRA-FR and COAPT Trials

Paul A. Grayburn, MD, Anna Sannino, MD, Milton Packer, MD

(J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2019;12:353-62)





As for TEER for FMR....




Lifesaving therapy!!!





Results will be more like Mitra-FR



Time and more real world experience will tell



JACC: CARDIOVASCULAR IMAGING © 2021 BY THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER

EDITORIAL COMMENT

Distinguishing Proportionate and Disproportionate Subtypes in Functional Mitral Regurgitation and Left Ventricular Systolic Dysfunction*

Paul A. Grayburn, MD, Anna Sannino, MD, Milton Packer, MD

"....our proposed framework to distinguish patients with proportionate and disproportionate MR is intended primarily to be applied to groups of patients. In clinical practice, echocardiographic assessments of EROA and LVEDV are fraught with considerable interobserver variability...."

Paul Grayburn, MD

Imaging

- Refinement of echocardiographic tools
 - Recognition of importance of 3D
- Complementary technologies
 - CT: calcium scoring, leaflet thrombosis and degeneration
 MRI
- Al increasingly valuable tool



Other advances

- Tricuspid valve no longer forgotten
- Prosthetic leaflet degeneration/ thrombosis
- Deep dives into predictors of clinical outcomes post TAVR
- Anticoagulation in mechanical valves



ASE GUIDELINES AND STANDARDS

Recommendations for Noninvasive Evaluation of Native Valvular Regurgitation

A Report from th **Developed in Collal**

EACVI/ASE CLINICAL RECOMMENDATIONS

(CrossMark

William A. Zoghbi, MD, F/ Maurice Enriquez-Sar Rebecca T. Hahn, MD, FASE, Yu Stephen H. Little, MD Paaladinesh Thavend Neil J. Weissman, MD, FASE, He Minnesota; San Francisco, Califi

Recommendations on the Echocardiographic Assessment of Aortic Valve Update from the Europe Cardiovascular Imaging and of Echocardi

EXPERT CONSENSUS STATEMENT

EAE/ASE Recommendations for the Use of Fahaaardiaaranhy in Naw Transacthatar

CLUDELINES AND STANDARDS

Helmut Baumgartner, MD, FESC, (Chair), Judy Hung

John B. Ch Patrizi and Catherine Kingdom; Oslo,

GUIDELINES AND STANDARDS

Ir

Recommended Standards for the Performance of Transesophageal Echocardiographic Screening for Structural Heart Intervention: From the American Society of Echocardiography

Rebecca T. Hahn, MD, FASE (Chair), Muhamed Saric, MD, PhD, FASE (Co-Chair), Francesco Fulvio Faletra, MD, Ruchira Garg, MD, FASE, Linda D. Gillam, MD, MPH, FASE, Kenneth Horton, ACS, RCS, FASE, Omar K. Khalique, MD, FASE, Stephen H. Little, MD, FASE, G. Burkhard Mackensen, MD, PhD, FASE, Jae Oh, MD, FASE, Nishath Quader, MD, FASE, Lucy Safi, DO, FASE, Gregory M. Scalia, MBBS, FASE, and Roberto M. Lang, MD, FASE, New York, New York; Lugano, Switzerland; Los Angeles, California; Morristown, New Jersey; Murray, Utah; Houston, Texas; Seattle Washington; Rochester, Minnesota; St. Louis, Missouri; Hackensack, New Jersey, Brisbane, Australia; and Chicago, Illinois

aluation of Valvular Percutaneous Valve placement of Echocardiography Developed in **Cardiovascular Angiography and** chocardiography, and Society for anetic Resonance

Asch, MD, FASE, Charles Bruce, MBChB, FASE, Jrn, MD, FASE, Rebecca T. Hahn, MD, FASE, APH, FSCAI, Stamatios Lerakis, MD, FASE, ID, FASE, Nikolaos Skubas, MD, DSc, FASE, ASE, Paaladinesh Thavendiranathan, MD, MSc, FASE, , SJSUM, and Karen G. Zimmerman, BS, ACS, RDCS, ict of Columbia; Rochester, Minnesota; Morristown, New ssachusetts; Los Angeles, California; Cleveland, Ohio; gano, Japan; and Morgantown, West Virginia



Summary

- A momentous decade for valvular heart disease
 - Fruitful collaboration between medicine and industry, surgery and cardiology, clinical and basic science
- While TAVR has emerged as disruptive technology, important developments in the mitral and tricuspid space have also occurred
- Look for important developments to follow
- ASE tools will continue to be essential



Thank you