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Introduction and Welcome: 🌟Welcome to tonight's #ASEchoJC! 🌟We'll discuss @ASE360 recommendations for #POCUS in Children w/ authors @Jimmy_C_Lu @nehasonipatel & moderators @Kfarooqi & @boegel_kelly

🌟10 🤔for discussion will follow

🌟Use #ASEchoJC in all Tweets

🌟Guideline: https://bit.ly/3T7YkER
Q1: How does this guideline differ from the previous ASE statements on POCUS?

A1 Notable Responses:

@EGarciaSayan: #POCUS is not defined by the equipment used but by the technique and goals of the study

▲ Focused problem-oriented & physiology-based evaluation of the heart performed by non-cardiology clinicians

@EnsingGreg: Are there specific pearls or pitfalls that we should be especially aware of when we start figuring out best uses of pocus in children?

@Jimmy_C_Lu: Prior @ASE360 statements on focused cardiac US (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23711341/) and the role of echo labs in training (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32122742/) did not address or specifically excluded children – many differences in this population.

@EGarciaSayan: @ASE360 guideline for #POCUS in children: How does this guideline differ from the previous @ASE360 statements on POCUS?

@Slwa23288585: Recommended scan time is no more than 5 to 7 min, with the study typically consisting of no more than 10 stored images. Limited cooperation in children and technical limitations when imaging cardiac structures at higher heart rates also limit the sensitivity of #POCUS

@Slwa23288585: Thanks sharing 🙏

@Kfarooqi: This document discusses #POCUS in 🧑‍⚕️ 🧑‍⚕️ 🧑‍⚕️ !

→ training in #pediatrics is limited

→ not standardized

→ takes spatial and temporal considerations into account

→ have to 😊 about #CHD

@iamritu: Children are not small adults

large sector probes can’t scan between those small rib spaces

@nehasonipatel: And basic physics...higher frequency leads to shallow depth scanning. 3MHz probe may go straight through a baby...you’ll be scanning the bed! You need 8-12 MHz

@EGarciaSayan: Equipment for #POCUS in children is different than in adults. Requires higher frequency transducers, for shallow depth scanning.

@DavidWienerMD: And the larger footprint of probes meant for adults may not fit tiny intercostal spaces

@boegel_kelly: Those larger footprint are sometimes too big for adults 😊

@DavidWienerMD: True!!
@nehasonipatel: Kids come in all sizes. Ballerinas to football players. Neonates to little chunky monkeys :-} #ASEchoJC Care must be taken with this population.

@EGarciaSayan: New @ASE360 guideline for #POCUS in children applies to all patients <18 years of age, a broad age range, and size.
Question 2:

Why should indications, equipment, or training requirements differ for cardiac POCUS in children compared to adults? 

A2 Notable Responses:

@Kfarooqi: Remember Kids are not small adults! Technical differences should be taken into account when imaging heart rates.

EGarciaSayan: Why should indications, equipment, or training requirements differ for cardiac POCUS in children compared to adults? @Kfarooqi reminds us that kids are not small adults, different physiology, patient size, imaging depth.

@kgzimmerman: Tips for heart rates?

Jimmy_C_Lu: To some extent limited by the available equipment in this context. But it certainly impacts what we can (or should expect to be able to) evaluate - function and effusion, for example.

EGarciaSayan: @Jimmy_C_Lu highlights challenges of #POCUS in children, in the context of higher heart rates and differences in available equipment.

kgzimmerman: Is there a recommended basic protocol? to make sure bases are covered?

Jimmy_C_Lu: Too many bases to cover - focus is on answering the question at hand (e.g. function, effusion). Important that the family understands the child did not get a "normal echocardiogram".

EGarciaSayan: excellent question @kgzimmerman. Answers are coming up next!

Swa23288585: Indeed.

nehasonipatel: Sometimes anatomy can be taken for granted. When I was new....I didn’t know you could be born without a left ventricle...now I do.

boegel_kelly: There’s so much that variety in pediatrics and just because you have one defect doesn’t mean there aren’t others.

nehasonipatel: Acquired disease is rare. CHD can be simple to complex. Not all is caught in fetal echo. Recently saw a 16 year old undiagnosed truncus! The eye cannot see what the mind does not know. Complex CHDs take a long time to master by echo.

boegel_kelly: So true! Love this statement by @nehasonipatel “The eye cannot see what the mind does not know”

LanqiHua: Love it! - #the eye can not see what the mind does not know!

Jimmy_C_Lu: 1. Range of depths and HR in kids (varying size and age); one probe doesn’t fit all
2. Cardiac disease rarer in kids than adults; if there is cardiac dz, more likely congenital heart disease, which is too complex for cardiac POCUS

@EGarciaSayan: Why should indications, equipment, or training requirements differ for cardiac POCUS in children compared to adults? Different patient population, size and type of pathology.

@boegel_kelly: Important reminder that peds cardiac #POCUS is NOT for CHD screening

@iamritu: If only a lower frequency transducer is available are subcostal views most helpful?

@Jimmy_C_Lu: Definitely a useful tool, but other views (parasternal, apical) still useful depending on the target

@nehasonipatel: Always depends on the child...but subcostals and notch imaging are the hardest for new congenital sonographers. LOTS of training is needed to recognize all of the anatomy. You can't take anatomy for granted.
Question 3:

Who made up the writing group? Were other specialties represented?

A3 Notable Responses:

@Kfarooqi: SO much representation!!

Not just #pediatric cardiologists, ❤️ but sonographers, anesthesiologists 🧵 emergency medicine 🧵 and critical care oh my!

#RepresentationMatters

@nehasonipatel: The new age of medicine...collaboration. No more silos. We all have to speak the same language for our patients. This is why we need experts from all areas 😊

@Jimmy_C_Lu: We had representatives from Peds ER, Ped Crit Care, and Ped Anesthesia in addition to Ped Cardiologists (with experience in POCUS and/or education) and a Sonographer. A multidisciplinary team with everyone focused on doing the right thing for the patient.

@EGarciaSayan: A stellar writing group with broad representation worked on the new @ASE360 #POCUS in children guidelines

GUIDELINES AND STANDARDS

Recommendations for Cardiac Point-of-Care Ultrasound in Children: A Report from the American Society of Echocardiography*

Jeremy C. Liu, MD, FASE, Alan Blay, MD, FASE, Thomas Corr, MD, Jerri C. Levine, MD, Charles Evenson, MD, Wanda C. Miller-Mancini, MD, FASE, Nicha Suthipant, MD, FASE, RCCS, JD, FASE, and Timothy Merz, MD, FASE, Ann Arbor, Michigan; Houston, Texas; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Boston, Massachusetts; London, Ontario; Canada; Cleveland, Ohio; and Atlanta, Georgia

*Endorsed by the Society of Critical Care Medicine

Cardiac point-of-care ultrasound has the potential to improve patient care, but its application to children requires consideration of anatomic and physiologic differences from adult populations, and corresponding technical aspects of performance. This document is the product of an American Society of Echocardiography task force composed of representatives from pediatric cardiology, pediatric critical care medicine, pediatric emergency medicine, pediatric anesthesiology, and others, assembled to provide expert guidance. The diverse group aimed to identify common considerations across disciplines to guide evolution of indications, and to identify common requirements and infrastructure necessary for optimal performance, training, and quality assurance in the practice of cardiac point-of-care ultrasound in children. The recommendations presented are intended to facilitate collaboration among subspecialties and with pediatric echocardiography laboratories by identifying key considerations regarding (1) indications, (2) imaging recommendations, (3) training and competency assessment, and (4) quality assurance. (J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2020;33:265-77.)

Keywords: Point-of-care ultrasound, Pediatrics

@boegel_kelly: Impressive 😊

@DavidWienerMD: @ASE360 embraces and advocates for all CV US users
Question 4:

A4 Notable responses

@Kfarooqi: Make sure!
- data you need is amenable to #POCUS
- target physiology that is frequently encountered
- urgent decision making needed
- Non-cardiology docs can be trained and then reliably perform

@Jimmy_C_Lu: (1/2) Preload and volume responsiveness, qual LV systolic fxn, presence of pericardial effusion, and qual RV size and systolic pressure.

@EGarciaSayan: What can be assessed by cardiac POCUS in children?

@Jimmy_C_Lu: (2/2) Think of cardiac POCUS as problem-oriented, physiology-based imaging to complement clinical assessment. Specific questions, typically yes/no answers (e.g. dysfunction, effusion), not evaluation of symptom with differential dx (e.g. chest pain)

@nehasonipatel: You don’t need color to see an effusion :-)
@nehasonipatel: The goal is for the user to know normal...then they will spot if something is qualitatively abnormal. Practice Practice Practice!

@boegel_kelly: Can’t recognize abnormal til you are familiar with normal

@Jimmy_C_Lu: And collaboration - pediatric cardiologists and sonographers are available to do complete echocardiograms when there is any concern.

@nehasonipatel:

@boegel_kelly: Great use for cardiac #POCUS demonstrates here

@iamritu: see the effect of adenosine on a SVT in pediatric ER
Question 5:

A5 Notable responses

@Slwa23288585: Point of care ultrasound #POCUS

「的を絞った短時間のエコー検査」

@EGarciaSayan: #POCUS appropriate to assess causes & effects of hypotension, shock, and circulatory arrest

Not appropriate to evaluate signs or symptoms suggesting CHD

Clinical suspicion of CHD should be referred for pediatric cardiology consultation & standard #EchoFirst

@Jimmy_C_Lu: (1/2) No, and multiple statements agree with this:


@Jimmy_C_Lu: (2/2) Further, many potentially cardiac presenting symptoms in children (e.g. murmur, chest pain, cyanosis) should include CHD in the differential, and a normal POCUS study alone is not adequate to evaluate.

@Slwa23288585: Yes, can do. #PH #CHD #ACHD

Figure 3 Parasternal short-axis view demonstrating RV dilatation with bowing of the septum into the left ventricle, indicating pulmonary hypertension in this child with shock and pertussis.
@SIwa23288585: If #CHD is suspected, a pediatric cardiology call is made as early as possible.

@nehasonipatel: The goal of POCUS is to give quick information to help triage. Hemodynamics, complex CHD, and cyanotic defects are tough for POCUS alone. We need a full machine for that.

@SIwa23288585: 小児新生児 #POCUS 主目的は迅速トリアージ
血行動態、複雑 #CHD およびチアノーゼ原因診断 POCUS だけでは困難 I learn a lot 🙏🙏🙏

@boegel_kelly: #POCUS is not for everything

@boegel_kelly: This 😨

@Kfarooqi: Please!! 😊 Don’t use #POCUS to diagnose #CHD

Of course you may visualize 💖 some 😍 malformations but call up 📞 your neighborhood friendly #pediatric cardiologist if you need to assess for #CHD in detail 👉

@EGarciaSayan: #POCUS is not appropriate for evaluating signs or symptoms that suggest CHD (e.g., cyanosis, heart murmurs). Refer for pediatric cardiology consultation & #EchoFirst

@iamritu: Cardiac POCUS is brief/rapid to answer clinical question for medical management with qualitative or semiquantitative (normal vs abnormal vs un-known) “eyeball” methods
Question 6:

Can cardiac POCUS be used in patients with known congenital heart disease?

A6 Notable responses

@Jimmy_C_Lu: (1/2) STRONG caution is recommended in pts with known CHD. Typical dx targets may be invalid (e.g. how to eval fxn in systemic RV, or signs of tamponade in a single ventricle). Complex physiology + limited imaging = trouble

@boegel_kelly: Cautions for #POCUS

@Jimmy_C_Lu: (2/2) Possible role in initial stabilization, but must keep eval limited and consult with cardiology.

@nehasonipatel: Honestly... this is a tough question. What are you looking for? A quick function check...sure...but full assessment requires Team Cardiology (doc and sonographer) to be a part of this.

@nehasonipatel: If CHD in question contact team peds cardiology

@SIwa23288585: I agree 😊

@Kfarooqi: 😱 ✗ Proceed with Caution when utilizing #POCUS in patients with known #CHD‼️ if you are not a pediatric cardiologist.

Typical diagnostic assessment criteria may not apply!

📝 📝 Have a #pediatric cardiologist on speed dial in this situation 😊

@nehasonipatel: There is a reason why congenital heart scanning takes another 2 years of training after adult echo...there is that much more out there. Proceed with caution if scanning CHD. You need the right team involved. CHD is more than just 2D and color.

@ZakkisMom: #CHD #ACHD why Echos need to be done by certified congenital heart only!

@DrRazi4: Difficult 😔

@iamritu: Don’t use POCUS for congenital heart disease #ASEchoJC unless for stabilization in an emergency ie to assess fluid status quickly or tamponade

@SIwa23288585: #CHD vs #POCUS 利用不可 #ASEchoJC

⚠️ 緊急時安定化、ショック時、心タンポ時除外

@lobhammCHD: Not useful here
Question 7:

Which views should be used in cardiac POCUS?

A7 Notable responses

@EGarciaSayan: excellent question @kgzimmerman. Answers are coming up next!

@Jimmy_C_Lu: (1/5) subcostal long-axis: assess for pericardial effusion, ventricular function

@Jimmy_C_Lu: (2/5) subcostal IVC: can be used for volume status

@Jimmy_C_Lu: (3/5) parasternal long-axis: LV function, pericardial effusion
@Jimmy_C_Lu: (4/5) parasternal short-axis: ventricular function, septal position, pericardial effusion

@Jimmy_C_Lu: (5/5) apical 4-chamber: ventricular function, pericardial effusion
@EGarciaSayan: 5 recommended imaging views for #POCUS in children are presented in Table 1 of the new guideline:

- ▲ Subcostal or subxiphoid LAX
- ▲ Subcostal IVC
- ▲ PLAX
- ▲ PSAX
- ▲ A4Ch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>View</th>
<th>Transducer position</th>
<th>Image orientation</th>
<th>Potential uses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Subcostal long axis | Subcostal, inclination and 10 degrees (toward left kidney) | Centered over the heart, with apex towards the right side of the screen. | - Allows for pericardial effusion
|                     |                                                          |                   | - Overall assessment of biventricular function                                |
|                     |                                                          |                   | - Assessment of papillary muscle thickening, papillary muscle function        |
| Subcostal IVC       | Subcostal, inclination at 12 degrees (toward left kidney) | Centered over the subcostal IVC, oriented to the right side of the screen. | - Qualitative assessment of IVC, measured just below the diaphragm and
|                     |                                                          |                   | - Hypertrophy, hypoplasia of the right atrium and ventricle.                  |
| Parasagittal long    | Parasagittal, inclination at 90 degrees (toward neck)    | Centered over the IVC, with LV apex on the left side of the screen. | - Assessment of LV size and systolic function
| axis                |                                                          |                   | - Left ventricular septal function                                             |
|                     |                                                          |                   | - Assessment of pericardial effusion, presence of pericardial effusion.       |
| Parasagittal short   | Parasagittal, inclination at 135 degrees (toward neck)   | Centered over the LV papillary muscles, including the heart's right ventricle. | - Assessment of LV size and function
| axis                |                                                          |                   | - Assessment of RV size and function                                           |
|                     |                                                          |                   | - Presence of pericardial effusion, presence of RV collapse.                  |
| Apical four chamber | Apical, inclination at 0 degrees (toward right shoulder)  | Centered over the cardiac apex, with the left heart displayed on the right side of the screen. | - Assessment of LV size and function
|                    |                                                          |                   | - Assessment of RV size and function                                           |
|                     |                                                          |                   | - Presence of pericardial effusion, presence of RV collapse.                  |
|                     |                                                          |                   | - Assessment of subaortic and subpulmonary stenosis.                          |

@SIwa23288585: 小児新生児 #POCUS 重要断面
実際画像サンプル

🔗https://neocardiolab.com/tnecho_1/normal-neonatal-echocardiography/subcostal-view

@Jimmy_C_Lu: As a pediatric cardiologist, it pains me that this is apex up, but remember this is intended for patients with normal anatomy, normal AV connections, etc.

@nehasonipatel: apical 4-chamber: ventricular function, pericardial effusion
@nehasonipatel: Parasternal long-axis: LV function, pericardial effusion

@nehasonipatel: Parasternal short-axis: Ventricular function, septal position, pericardial effusion

@nehasonipatel: Subcostal long-axis: Assess for pericardial effusion, ventricular function
@iamritu: subcostal view to check for pericardial effusion

@nehasonipatel: subcostal IVC: can be used for volume status

@nehasonipatel: 4 standard views to take a quick look: Subcostal, Parasternal Long, Parasternal Short, Apical

@Kfarooqi: FIVE views for ped ❤ #POCUS

- subxyphoid long axis - effusion
- subcostal IVC view - estimate volume status with caution in this population
- parasternal long axis
- parasternal short axis - check septal position for RVp
- apical 4 chamber - V size and fxn

@EGarciaSayan: The 5 recommended imaging views for #POCUS in children

@EcgOxford: I think this is simplistic & assumes that we would have the book view of every single pt (unrealistic)

I am a beginner but I got all possible views for all. Probably, Rt PLAX is the most time-consuming but it all doesn’t take so long.

Speaking about POCUS not Echo obviously
@Stephan12230233: The one available in the specific patient

@iamritu: subcostal (subxiphoid in pediatrics) long-axis four-chamber, subcostal IVC view, PLAX & SAX views, apical 4-chamber view w probe indicator position (image orientation) w transducer indicator pointing to patient’s left except for PLAX view
**Question 8:**

**A8 Notable responses**

@Jimmy_C_Lu: Best practice is storage of all studies (facilitate collaboration, QA). Clips > still images. Storing on machine alone is inadequate. Findings should be reported in the medical record to give basis for clinical decision-making.

@EGarciaSayan: Cardiac #POCUS studies must be stored, archived & reported in the medical record for clinical decision-making, collaboration and QI.

@kgzimmerman: Can you bill for these studies?

@Jimmy_C_Lu: Fantastic question. We intentionally avoided a statement on billing, This is controversial and practice varies. There is an ongoing @ASE360 task force on nomenclature, including differentiating echo and POCUS.

@EGarciaSayan: Guest author @Jimmy_C_Lu on controversies, challenges, and practice variability with nomenclature & billing for cardiac #POCUS

@Kfarooqi: KEEP A RECORD 📝

Best practice is to record and store #POCUS images in the #EMR

- allows for comparison

- should be cloud based or institution picture archiving

- U/S devices should have wireless capabilities

@EGarciaSayan: What are the requirements for image storage and documentation in cardiac #POCUS in children?

@iamritu: storage with linkage to EHR is ideal so can share images, compare with prior cardiac studies & for quality improvement practices

@DavidWienerMD: I agree @iamritu. Our EHR and radiology PACs where ED POCUS images are stored are linked. I can review images when patients are seen, often quite helpful

@DmitryAbramovMD: I respectfully disagree that we should be routinely storing POCUS imaging. This takes time, which can discourage use. If it is stored, would need some instant way to link exam to chart. To me POCUS is part of physical exam and can be documented like the physical exam.

@DmitryAbramovMD: QI and scanning 20 patients a day may need to be distinguished. Putting in order and linking will take more than a minute. I think the field can and will grow similar to stethoscope and JVP assessment.
@jelevenson: Oh my! If we don't store POCUS images, we will never move this field forward! Routine storage is a must.

@DmitryAbramovMD: Stethoscopes have done well without having stored recordings, as has JVP assessment. Outside of QI, I don't see a reason to store. How long does it take you to store images per case (multiply by 20x I can use POCUS daily)?

@jelevenson: To store images? We just put in the order and it’s connected. How long does it take to put in an order?

@DmitryAbramovMD: Too long, as I would have to leave the ED exam room, find a computer, then come back. How does that connect with the Butterfly?

@jelevenson: We set up our orderset to create a patient directly on our machine. If I wanna do a POCUS, I put in the EHR order, then I find the patient in our machine, select, and start scanning. one minute or less.

How can we improve our field without doing QI/QA? we can’t.

@DmitryAbramovMD: QI and clinical care may need to be distinguished from each other. 20 patients per day, I think at more than an extra minute per, really affects workflow. Stethoscope and JVP assessment became standard without similar storage of images.

@jelevenson: Why do we need to do a pocus on all these patients? Maybe lung water exam is an exception for storage (just report b lines). But a screening pocus? Not helpful.

@jelevenson: ( we have had this debate for years locally. I love this debate. I will win 😊❤️)

@DmitryAbramovMD: I honestly doubt it, I doubt that in the future these will be routine stored when done clinically. They will be considered like physical exam.

@DmitryAbramovMD: Fair point. I use for chest pain risk stratification in ED, almost all acute HF patients, almost all a.fib admits. On a rounding/admitting service, that’s almost all patients. More helpful in almost all cases then stethoscope which we use many more times per day, I think.
Question 9:

A9 Notable responses

@EGarciaSayan: As with adult #POCUS, it is key to establish pathways for training and competency assessment.

Additional training needed for those trained in adult #POCUS.

Multiple learning methods recommended.

See prior.

@ASE360

POCUS training guideline: https://bit.ly/3J9OjCw

@nehasonipatel: It is important to involve your echo/cardiology team. #AskTheExperts Experience and collaboration is your best teacher.

@Kfarooqi: Competency may be specialty specific BUT should include:

- Technical and interpretive skill
- Knowledge about anatomy
- Risks, benefits, limitations if pediatric #POCUS
- Understanding of U/S equipment

@EGarciaSayan: Training & competency requirements in pediatric cardiac POCUS

@SIwa23288585: I tried contacting ChatGPT. However, I got the incorrect answer without #ASE. I have to say I’m sorry.
@Jimmy_C_Lu: If I were more technically savvy, this might have made writing the guideline easier.

@DavidWienerMD: Nope @Jimmy_C_Lu; the Guidelines and Standards Committee would have caught on 😊😊😊

@Jimmy_C_Lu: With different uses among specialties, each specialty must identify competencies for their users. There are shared competencies, and methods of assessment vary by type of competency. # of studies performed or completion of a course is inadequate.

@EGarciaSayan: defining competencies in cardiac #POCUS in the context of new @ASE360 pediatric #POCUS guidelines

@nehasonipatel: Work with the Education Coordinator in your hospital's echo lab along with the cardiologist to discuss pathways and competency.

@iamritu: Just completing a minimum threshold of pocus exams does not equal competency #ASEchoJC #simulation can help improve competency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Strategies for competency assessment</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Practice logs</td>
<td>Can assess volume and diversity of experience</td>
<td>Assesses exposure required to become competent, but not competency itself</td>
<td>Volume of studies is necessary but not sufficient for competency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective structured clinical examinations</td>
<td>Allows direct observation of clinical skill and situational awareness</td>
<td>May not mirror the real world (e.g., variations in patient size and environment)</td>
<td>May use simulations, which can offer an idealized experience best for early learners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Written examinations</td>
<td>Easily standardized and objective</td>
<td>Can assess knowledge but not performance skills</td>
<td>Best for assessing fund of knowledge (e.g., cardiovascular physiology, ultrasound equipment, indications and limitations of cardiac POCUS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computerized image libraries</td>
<td>Can assess interpretive skills, as learners interpret curated, archived studies</td>
<td>Does not assess image acquisition</td>
<td>Cases must reflect the breadth and depth of issues and pathologies in the pediatric population, not just adult-based libraries</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question 10:

How do we ensure quality in pediatric cardiac POCUS?

A10 Notable responses

@Jimmy_C_Lu: 1. Ongoing QA process is essential: Regular review of complicated cases, discrepancies from other imaging – share knowledge

2. Collaboration: Utilize resources at your center (pediatric cardiology, radiology, etc) – share expertise

@nehasonipatel: It’s not like riding a bike...practically constant practice will help and having a QA process set for continuous improvement and collaboration will help reach and maintain high quality.

@Kfarooqi: Quality Quality Quality

Open discussions allow for ongoing evaluation of #pediatric ❤️ #POCUS

- Archiving images allows for review
- Staff should be aware of goals
- Anonymous reporting of concerns
- Educational activities related to new skills procedures

@EGarciaSayan: strategies to ensure quality in cardiac #POCUS

@Slwa23288585: Indeed😊😊😊

@iamritu: Regular conferences, review of archived pocus studies performed & compare to corresponding reports, some pocus machines have AI gaming feature to see how fast you can acquire the images(red/yellow/green light indicator) need more simulators to teach/educate

@EGarciaSayan: ensuring quality in cardiac #POCUS in the context of new @ASE360 pediatric #POCUS guidelines, but strategies apply to adult labs as well

@EGarciaSayan: ensuring And that's a wrap for tonight's #ASEchoJC!

Thanks to the authors @Jimmy_C_Lu @nehasonipatel & the amazing co-moderators @Kfarooqi & @boegel_kelly

If you missed the live tweets, catch up on the discussion later by searching #ASEchoJC.

@iamritu: Thank you for another awesome #ASEchoJC @Jimmy_C_Lu @nehasonipatel @Kfarooqi @boegel_kelly covered a lot of this new pediatric pocus guideline w @EGarciaSayan chair of our #ASEchoJc & friends @DavidWienerMD karen zimmerman & all who joined us